

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-229

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace made improper rulings in two criminal cases and one protective order proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 30, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 30, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature:

Date:

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

1. Arizona vs. _____ - case

There was 'extensive home security video footage' to refute the allegations of _____ in this case. Not only was my lawyer incompetent: Ms. _____ but so were the Prosecutor Mr. _____ and Judge _____. It was apparent to any layperson, that _____ took place. And yet after Judge _____ viewed this lengthy video surveillance with Officer _____ Police Department _____ my _____ who provided the video footage, court Clerks, Prosecutor, and my lawyer—with this evidence, Judge _____ still could not reason to use fairness, but instead decided I was _____ Judge _____ also made the decision that I was _____ even though there was no _____ provided to the court in the police report, that I had assaulted the so called victim, other than his, and his family members' words (which each contradicted, by the other family members' account) of what occurred, giving way to obvious lying under Oath. The victim even said _____ to the reporting police officer at the scene. The victim _____ evidence' to support his claim that he had been treated for _____ during Discovery. Judge _____ did not use fairness and ask where all this _____ was for _____ Or even entertain the fact, and verbalize that she felt this was a sham and a waste of the court resources and time. Adding to this confusion and insult to me who became the victim of grifters, later during _____ Hearing Judge _____ was never in the court room, it was just myself, my lawyer and _____ After some time, the Prosecutor came into the court room and whispered to my lawyer and they both left the courtroom. Shortly thereafter, my lawyer returned, and said she discovered in a meeting in the Prosecutors office the _____ produced _____ that were found to be from a year ago in order to receive Restitution, so say my lawyer. I paid a _____ and left. Yet, Judge _____ her decision of _____ against me.

2. Arizona vs. I- case

There was a placed against me. This allegation came as the last and call on by my neighbor. The previous calls to Police Department were not acted upon, because they found no basis for them. It is presumed that was frustrated with all the calls from the residence that day, and took it upon themselves to end it by citing me; Officer was the reporting officer. He said he had viewed video footage of myself and and heard US yelling. And yet, I was the only one cited. And after months of requests from then Prosecutor Mr. nothing was produced, nor did any member of the family show up for court. Judge Dismissed the case. This case dragged on endlessly for with continuances.

And yet here we are months and the Prosecutor/Ms. the allegation of against me, and said to my lawyer –she was being never naming who was pressuring her, so say my lawyer. And Judge again signs off-neither addressing the fact that there was last year. Plus, she also overlooks. It was a day late. Now comes; the false evidence of scratchy voice, and a picture of and myself (still photo) from from when the family bought their house next door to our house. Neither the Prosecutor Ms. nor Judge sees anything wrong with this ABUSE of the judicial system by the. This case has, as of yesterday, moved to a of A year for a where there is no evidence, and a false police report. This isn't justice, or timely adjudication of a case.

3. Injunction Against Harassment: case and case against

viewed both (2) of the Injunctions, and noticed that they were filed on the same day and with the exact same allegations before Judge. And it did not occur to her to read over them, nor ask them if they had any proof of these allegations against that they mention, both and I' in the Injunctions.

Furthermore. Allowing for Judge previous poor judgment. When court date of: was detained by emergency vehicles outside of our neighborhood the day of his court appearance against these false allegations by the. He was late and pleaded with Judge to allow the court to with Injunction against him, but she was steadfast and refused and said: she had a policy and left for her break. And yet when she heard Injunction she Dismissed Injunction as baseless ramblings-but she could not allow herself to be professional enough to admit wrong doing and say to these (2) Injunctions are identical that I signed off on. I'm going to Dismiss both.

All of these miscarriages of justice are the result of on behalf of Judge. She absolutely lacks the ability to be unbiased, which that black robe is supposed to represent.