

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-241

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem superior court judge did not afford her an opportunity to be heard, did not timely enter a final judgment, and made erroneous rulings in a forcible entry and detainer action.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 10, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 10, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature _____

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

filed my response to plaintiff's complaint hearing at which Judge admitted he had not read the paperwork, and would not, and stated he knew enough to rule in favor of [redacted]. @ no plaintiff appeared, no council, or witness' appeared. A voice on the phone was identified as an attorney. ie: there is no subject matter jurisdiction @ There are exhibits in my Complaint/Cross Complaint that were referenced during the hearing and the Judge ignored everything.

An Appeal was filed and on [redacted] was assigned. The judge continued with the eviction process, even though an appeal was filed and I was illegally evicted.

Filed [redacted]; ineptly. see: B. Platsky v. CIA "Court errs if court dismisses pro se litigant without instruction of how pleadings are deficient and how to repair pleadings" (953 F.2d 25, 26, 28, (2nd Cir. 1991))

at Appeals Court's instruction, I filed " since the case was remanded to Judge for him to deal with the issues brought to court in my initial filing.

I discovered the property was being painted and staged for sale.

I filed "

"until a determination

could be made by the court. Denied by Judge

I filed " as no signed Judgment
had been issued by Judge as ordered by the Appeals Court.
and filed by ~~the~~ me on " as "
at the Appeals Court. I did not file for Attorneys fees again
because my " made clear that Judge
had continued to ignore all of the issues established by
my filing of a Complaint.

Judge continues to ignore all points filed into the
case and in fact filed on that are
not parties to the case! We were named in complaint as
; we responded as the rightful owners of the
property and Judge continues to exhibit legal blindness
regarding the filings I have made to this case.

I file "
" challenging the court's jurisdiction
and enumerating , my claims and citing supporting
case law.

Without showing proof of Jurisdiction, Judge files
". This order is null because of lack of
Jurisdiction (Scott v Mc Neal 154 U S 34 Re: Banner, 151 U S 242)
I filed into this case "

"
Because there is no jurisdiction in this case, Judge
Order Denying and all other Orders and Judgments are VOID.
His continued actions in this case are evidence of
Contempt of Court.

"State courts like federal courts have a constitutional
obligation to safeguard Personal liberties and to uphold federal
law." (Stone v Powell 428 U S 465 485 n. 35, 96 S. Ct. 3037, 49 L. Ed.
2d 1067 (1976)) pg 2

Judge refused and continues to refuse to provide due process and equal protection before the court. He has not allowed what is necessary for a full, fair, impartial hearing.

During the hearing he showed bias in favor of the plaintiff, instructing the defendant not to argue when I attempted to speak; and silencing and intimidating me when he responded to a point of law I made by pointedly asking " ?"

After I responded " ", he ignored my evidence.

Judge allowed the (so claimed) atty for to testify by phone without being sworn in. "An attorney for the plaintiff cannot admit evidence into the court. He is either an attorney or a witness." (Trinsey v Pagliaro D.C. Pa. 1964, 229 F. Supp 647)

Judge has repeatedly ignored material facts that are filed into this case and on which the case relies. Thus allowing his direction of the case in the predetermined direction favoring the plaintiff. His "hearing" of my case that included exhibits and many points of law took a scant ; ie: he did not hear my case.