

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-247

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in a family law matter and in a protective order proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 7, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 7, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature:

Date:

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

Judge ruled directly against Arizona Revised Statutes, failed to take into consideration all relevant factors, evidence, previous court rulings, police reports and allowed two documents containing falsified and scandalous material to remain on record and granted hearings on both, violated Arizona Revised Statutes, placed refused to grant a much needed Order of Protection and failed to ensure the abused parties would be safe from the abuser.

More specifically, Judge granted a hearing prior to one year after the last order of the court regarding residential custody and based upon a false pleading in a motion to modify the decree filed by the respondent. Previously, Judge granted a Request for Reconsideration of the Decree. Respondent has been adjudicated and Respondent has has to of his and those by their and then moved to another state to Respondent has emotionally abused to the point of wishing to commit suicide. After of no contact between and Respondent, was no longer suicidal. Judge granted joint legal-decision making and between the Respondent and which is contrary to the law under ARS 25-403.03. Judge did not take into consideration all relevant factors the law makes available. Judge admitted to knowing the material in the Motion was untrue.

This decision was made last week and Respondent has already resorted to threatening Petitioner and harassing Petitioner. Petitioner had an Order of Protection which expired and Judge did not grant a renewal. is involved in this matter and although a case has not been opened again, one will be opened should Respondent be successful in his current activities. He has filed a complaint against Petitioner in which Petitioner is now being arrested on false charges.

Judge clearly violated several laws when he granted Respondent a hearing and when he granted He failed to place first when he ordered to take place. Respondent is a known drug dealer, and has rage issues.

Please review the case history.
Thank you.