

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-258

Judges:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem superior court judge was prejudiced against him and also alleged a superior court judge failed to disqualify himself.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 10, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on November 10, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

**EMERGENCY MOTION TO
INTERVENE AND STOP
PROCEEDINGS**

Comes now Defendant represented by Court Appointed Attorney

. Defendant comes to this top Court and the Judicial

Commission out of desperation to have Defendants current Criminal

Case proceedings stopped at once. Allowing Defendant the opportunity

1 to show this Court and the Judicial Commission Defendant has been
2 falsely arrested, maimed, slandered, harassed, unlawfully imprisoned,
3 and is being maliciously prosecuted.

4 Defendant has a hearing this morning, so Defendant apologizes
5 for the short and somewhat vague Pleading. Defendant needs to file
6 this before today's hearing, as it was just learned the Judge once again
7 refuses to be ethical and rule on a previously filed .

8 This Motion was because Defendant has not heard from his Attorney,
9 at all and the conflict of interests with the Judge. This Case is scheduled
10 for Trial in .

11 the Defendant can prove that not only were the Charges
12 themselves manufactured, but that the
13 manufactured and manipulated evidence for Indictment, falsified
14 Summons service attempt, and rushed through a Bench Warrant.

1 Defendant can also prove that the [redacted] has
2 and continues to allow the violation of the Defendants Due Process,
3 and Constitutional Rights.

4 Up till [redacted]. This [redacted] case was assigned
5 to the Honorable [redacted]. All of a sudden overnight this
6 Judge [redacted] early. Drawing sever suspicion not o only with
7 this case but with all cases. It was well known that Judge
8 believed [redacted] and that the [redacted]
9 [redacted]. Word is that this Judge refused to going along with the
10 [redacted] agenda to Falsely Imprison the Defendant,
11 and possible unethical warrant requests.

12 Defendant believes that not only can it be proved that Defendants
13 charges were manufactured, the [redacted] is
14 maliciously prosecuting, and the [redacted] has and
15 continues to allow attacks on, and the violation of the Defendants
16 rights and Due Process. Defendant can prove this Conspiracy by Audio

1 and Video recordings, Testimony, Witnesses, Pleadings, E-mail Text
2 Message and phone records, and Police contact, call logs and Police
3 Reports.

4 Defendant can also prove that Judge _____ who was the
5 _____ and the very individual that assisted the
6 _____ in covering up the filed Formal Complaints
7 against this _____ misconduct, Obstruction of Justice, and
8 Interfering with Judicial Proceedings. This conflict of Interests is a major
9 reason Defendant needs this Court to intervene. The newly assigned
10 Judge _____ has already displayed biased and unethical behavior
11 towards the Defendant. When the Defendant filed a lawsuit, and also
12 allowed _____ fabricated a
13 False Petition to enforce support.

14 In addition to these crimes and conflicts of interests. Defendant
15 can also prove that Since _____ . Has
16 not returned nor called the Defendant since being appointed to the

1 Defendant a year ago. Defendant can prove that approximately
2 calls a week has been placed to this Attorney with no return call, and
3 that also he refuse to give me his e-mail, and cell phone number.
4 Defendant is preparing a Complaint for the State Bar after today's
5 hearing. Regarding this issue.

6 Wherefore; Defendant respectfully requests this High Court to
7 stop these proceedings immediately. Allowing the Arizona Judicial
8 Committee to at once begin an investigation regarding this unethical
9 conduct, and appoint the Defendant with a new Attorney. This is in no
10 way a stall tactic. Defendant is so sure that it is even willing to except
11 Contempt charges if this process does not reveal truth in this allegation.