

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 15-259

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner falsely accused him of lying and failed to timely enter an order in a civil case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 14, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on October 14, 2015.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature

Date:

**INSTRUCTIONS**

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

On \_\_\_\_\_ I filed an \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ of Sale by \_\_\_\_\_ I am the original property owner. The house was foreclosed on and sold at the \_\_\_\_\_ for more than was owed on it. The amount of \_\_\_\_\_ is \_\_\_\_\_

The first \_\_\_\_\_ of Mailing were done in error on my part, as I submitted the wrong information by mistake and used the online \_\_\_\_\_ report as proof because I didn't receive the envelope back that was sent to the \_\_\_\_\_ marked \_\_\_\_\_ for over a month and was unsure if it was even going to be returned (even though I paid for the \_\_\_\_\_ service).

However, on \_\_\_\_\_ I filed the \_\_\_\_\_ with the actual scanned image of the envelope, returned to sender as \_\_\_\_\_ and it \_\_\_\_\_

On \_\_\_\_\_ the \_\_\_\_\_ basically told me that he didn't believe I sent notice to all of the parties, even though I had provided my proofs that I did. Each party received a distribution list and the conformed copy of my Application. The \_\_\_\_\_ from \_\_\_\_\_ reflects exactly that; that he rejected my \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ has repeatedly and falsely stated that there is an \_\_\_\_\_ this property from the \_\_\_\_\_ and there isn't and there never was. Nothing in the Complaint indicates there is and looking it up at the \_\_\_\_\_ site shows none exist. I spoke with the \_\_\_\_\_ on the phone and they told me there wasn't any liens from them. I believe this \_\_\_\_\_ used the rule regarding liens against properties in order to procrastinate and hold off the case for \_\_\_\_\_. If not, it can be construed as ignorance or just an innocent error, which anyone can understand, especially me, given that I've already confessed to being human and making mistakes myself. However, I do feel very strongly that being falsely accused of having a lien or owing money that isn't owed on \_\_\_\_\_ and then being basically called \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ to my face goes well beyond making honest mistakes.

The \_\_\_\_\_ was up on \_\_\_\_\_

The mailings were all sent out on \_\_\_\_\_

All of the parties, except the \_\_\_\_\_ signed and receipts were returned and the \_\_\_\_\_ again did not and the envelope was returned as \_\_\_\_\_ and was scanned and submitted as evidence with the \_\_\_\_\_ filed on \_\_\_\_\_

I have gotten the \_\_\_\_\_ notarized AGAIN and filed it with scanned copies of both the front and the back of the \_\_\_\_\_ envelope which was returned from the \_\_\_\_\_

Now, as I'm reading back on the \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ says the Court hasn't gotten signatures or returned envelopes from either the \_\_\_\_\_ or the \_\_\_\_\_ who \_\_\_\_\_ lives at \_\_\_\_\_ That's my old property address! Does he not understand that the Trustee only sent notification to that address because he was trying to notify me because that was my last know address at that time? The occupant (if there is one) at that address IS NOT a party to this case. I'm not sure this \_\_\_\_\_ has an understanding of this case. In fact, the Court, itself, has a docketed \_\_\_\_\_ dated \_\_\_\_\_ that is a \_\_\_\_\_ showing their sent to notify the \_\_\_\_\_ of that address was returned to sender. I was the person living there who the Trustee was trying to notify and because he wasn't sure if I still lived there, he sent one to \_\_\_\_\_ at that address just in case I or someone I knew was still there. If anyone lives there now, they don't need to know my business with the Court.

\_\_\_\_\_ passed a long time ago and still, this \_\_\_\_\_ just keeps coming up with reasons to not to sign the \_\_\_\_\_ releasing my funds to me and giving me back what rightfully belongs to me by law even though I have repeatedly shown that I have complied with the law and mailed notifications to all interested parties in this case and have provided the evidence supporting my actions.

I'm not the Plaintiff or the Defendant in this case and \_\_\_\_\_ has been rendered so how could I Appeal? I can't.

I have been burdened with undue financial hardship waiting for money that I don't believe \_\_\_\_\_ ever intends on releasing to me. This is not his money or the Court's money or the Treasurer's money and there was never any \_\_\_\_\_ lien against this property. This money belongs to me and me alone and I worked very hard to be able to pay my mortgage every month until I fell on hard times and could no longer keep it up. I've paid \_\_\_\_\_ in order to file to get my own money back that is owed to me and is rightfully mine by law and additionally, I was instructed that I had to re-file new \_\_\_\_\_ each time I resubmitted or filed with the Court, as well.

At this point, I feel that my right to self-representation is being breached because I feel trapped in a situation whereas I am finding myself having to seek the advice of Legal Council in order to get this case resolved.

Can someone please help or intervene in some way?

Thank you,