

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-271

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem superior court judge made denigrating statements to him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 18, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 18, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2015-271

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I AM ENQUIRING INFORMATION ON HOW TO REPORT A COURT JUDGE'S CONDUCT. I UNDERSTAND, THAT JUDGES ARE IMMUNE TO 42 H.S.C. (1983) CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINTS, BUT HIS ACTIONS CAN NOT WITHSTAND AN ACTUAL-EXAMINATION OF JUDICIAL RULES 1.1 AND 1.2 - OF JUDICIARY CONDUCT. ALL I WANT IS THIS JUDGE (THE HONORABLE _____), TO RECUSE HIMSELF FROM MY CASE, BECAUSE HE HAS MADE DEROGATORY STATEMENTS THAT MERIT SANCTIONS. ENCLOSED, PLEASE FIND A MINUTE-ENTRY DATED

WHERE HE BLATENTLY CLAIMS I'M NOT ENTITLED TO FILING A DELAYED 12.9 OR 16.6 (B) MOTION TO DISMISS MY CASE WITH PREJUDICE, THIS DEFIES LOGIC AND WARRANTS REDRESS. YOUR OVERVIEW ON THIS URGENT MATTER IS VERY TRULY APPRECIATED.

THANK-YOU