

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 15-278

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a pro tem superior court judge violated his constitutional rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 18, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 18, 2015.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-278

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The following named Justice officials in this case have violated the defendant's Constitutional Rights, and according to Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct according to their own actions and testimony in which their Justice officials

In the Court Arizona In County. Willingly and knowingly violated Arizona State law, and have abused their power and the integrity of judicial proceedings (Criminal Damage)

State Prosecutor;  
Witness Det  
Grand Jurors:

(In County)  
[Signature]

Court Reporter

- Current Counsel for defendant

- According to Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct -  
- And Content's + how In -

Judge Det. of Police Department gave false and misleading statements on at the Grand Jury Presentation. Deputy County Attorney, Impermissibly

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2015-278

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Deflected an inquiry of the Grand Jury and failed to correct and/or Supplement the record when Grand and the party showed on page. Committed Perjury ect. ect. On page of the Grand Jury Indictment were Cut's old witness Det. [Name] lives [Address] Ms. [Name] for the State - to ask Det. - witness) how long was the chase, as the witness is about to give a answer Cut's the witness off in mid sentence, and then Give's a answer for the question that he him self just asked. Then threw out the whole Indictment Det knowing he interviewed the accused with a administrator from wife he was with the influence of that officer In Report ever accuses Mr and Det. [Name] yet In the Indictment give his Testimony, and State Ment's for the very foundation of which this case and so called Criminal Damage stand on. If Mr were guilty The State wouldnt have to lie while under Oath.