

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-290

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased toward the state in a criminal proceeding and had improperly refused to appoint him new counsel.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 25, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 25, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

RE: THE HONORABLE JUDGE

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN;

THIS IS TO ADVISE YOU, THAT I AM CURRENTLY HAVING A PROBLEM WITH THE ABOVE NAMED JUDGE, WHO'S BEEN COMPLETELY - SUBSERVIENT TO THE STATE'S COMMAND. I UNDERSTAND, THAT YOU CAN'T REVERSE ANY OF HER DECISIONS, BUT THE INVESTIGATION SHE'S SHOWING, FROM THE BEGINNING OF THE TRIAL, WAS TO BE EXAMINED - IN - EXTENSIVE - DETAIL, THE PROBLEM HAS GOTTEN SO BAD, THAT I HAVE TO PROCEED TO SENTENCING, WITH AN ATTORNEY, WHO HAS NO VESTED INTEREST IN HELPING ME TO HIS BEST ABILITY! I KNOW THAT I'M NOT ENTITLED TO "HYBRID REPRESENTATION", BUT CONFLICTING INTERESTS STILL EXIST AND REPRIMAND IS BEING SOUGHT FOR HER ACTIONS! ALTHOUGH I WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION, THAT ALL AGGRAVATING FACTORS MUST BE PROVEN TO A JURY, TO INCLUDE PREAR CONVICTIONS, SHE COULD AT LEAST - TOLD ME TRUTH. ENCLOSED, PLEASE FIND A SOME FRACTION OF PAPERWORK, TO PROVE MY ALLEGATIONS OF HER BREACH, TO HONOR JUDICIARY RULES 6.0 AND 1.2 OF CONDUCT. I WANT HER TO RESIGN HERSELF FROM MY CASE, BECAUSE SHE WON'T APPOINT ME AN ATTORNEY, TO REPRESENT ME TO HIS BEST CAPACITY AND MAKE THE STATE'S CASE ENCOUNTER AND SURVIVE A CREDIBLE MEANING OF THE ADVERSARIAL TESTING PROCESS. THANK - YOU FOR YOUR TIME ON READING MY LETTER. .. PLEASE HELP

Sincerely Signed

✍

I DID NOT ACCEPT THE STATE'S PLEA OFFER, BUT
P.S. - ALL PLEA OFFERS SHARE SOMETHING IN COMMON, SEE -
PAGE FOUR! I DID NOT TESTIFY AND YET I'M SUBJECTED
TO CEREBRAL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT FOR PROCEEDING
TO TRIAL? PLEASE CONCUR... Thank-you