

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 15-293

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made slanderous statements about her in rulings and made improper rulings in a family law matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 25, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 25, 2015.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature:

Date:

### INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

On a hearing with the petitioner along with his witness both gave false statements to Judge with no supportive evidence. When this was brought up in the court room and clarified there was no evidence of any abuse and no investigation had been conducted this was accepted and used against myself to deny parenting time. The petitioner did not give proper notice that they had obtained counsel and was able to still move forward. As the respondent I did have evidence prepared and provided copies for both parties however the judge stated in open court she was refusing to accept any of my evidence that would prove my innocence. This was documented in the minute entry as (evidence) to

and the court to

The judge also made slanderous statements in the minute entry that were not made in open court regarding as being psychologically unfit without any medical knowledge or professional statement. In the minute entry dated (which has now been removed from the website or public viewing). Without accepting the evidence I had I was unable to show proof of slander and the judge put in the minute entry false information stating and had for a period of and the

The judge then stated without proper and substantive information that contact with would endanger without speaking to which are of age to fully disclose what happened.

The judge then put in the minute entry provided any records to satisfy the court that she is mentally stable and to care for them." This statement that is false is also slanderous which caused difficulty in my case with my son. Judge and Judge have been in contact with each other regarding my case with my and have used this case against me in my custody dispute with A psychological evaluation has been completed and a complaint to the judge was submitted on regarding contempt by the petitioner illegally withholding and the counselor not cooperating with the reunification of the with

On a minute entry dated Judge ordered neither party shall disclose address to However the court order was not followed and on Respondent and counsel have a statement and minute entry only given to Mr. in that case. In the motion for emergency order it clearly shows the order was violated however, when it was brought to the attention of Judge it was denied as despite the history of domestic violence from Mr.

My complaint supports there are some issues with this Judge making false statements in the minute entry which have caused damage in another dispute. These slanderous statements should be taken seriously. A judge cannot make a statement about someones mental health if that is not their profession nor make this type of statement without a doctor present. This type of defamation goes against my right to due process. This is considered hearsay. This statement was made in bad faith, without accepting proof from a psychiatrist, therapist or doctor. This type of moral turpitude should not be accepted in or out of the court room .