

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-296

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge had improperly delayed making rulings and also made improper rulings in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 25, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 25, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-296

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I just recently found out Judge _____ is a Judge
again. In this case at hand, it started with him assigning
himself to my case. A search warrant was issued, on
an "informant's" word, no tape recording or video, only
her word "see affidavit." _____ submitted
a motion, on _____ requesting deposition of
"see attached" a hearing was scheduled
on _____. The hearing never happened and there
was never a ruling on it. It's a constitutional right,
amendment VI, to confront or cross examine a witness
against a person and to have compulsory process
for obtaining witnesses in his favor.
There ^{WERE} ~~was~~ numerous motions and attempts to interview
the informant, it never happened. Also from the
beginning I have requested for fingerprints
on all evidence to be analyzed, that numerous
attorneys, many motions "see attached" that's a
due process violation. Even the D.A. put in a
motion for major case prints to be done, "see
attached" They even took me back down to
booking and did major case prints, so I thought
all evidence was going to be tested. Apparently
not. The court ordered it, then they didn't,
it just never happened, no matter how many

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-296

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

times it was requested.

There was even motions for sanctions, for not complying with the Rules of the Court, 15.1. That was never ruled on either nor complied with. Then on a rule & time limit motion was filed, Amendment VI guarantees your right to a speedy trial.

Judge seem to have a personal interest in this case and disregard for constitutional rights, due process violations and following the rules of the court. He was not fair or consciences of any motions filed, many were never ruled on, left open or swept under the carpet.

All this time I was complaining about the attorneys, it wasn't them at all. motion after motion were filed, to interview the informant, finger print analysed on all evidence, none of it ever done.

Judge should make decisions based on rules of the court, constitutional rights and due process rights not a personal interest and feelings of a case

Sincerely,