

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-325

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge denied him his right to a jury trial and made other improper rulings in a probate matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 23, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 23, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, at the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature: _____

Date: _____ December _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

I have inserted the relevant recent actual court correspondence... It is in LIFO form... The main complaints are the denial by _____ of a trial by jury & participation by the initiator/petitioners in the objecting beneficiary's presentation to the court (JURY) that the trusts were obtained in violation of ARS # 14 dealing with trusts obtained via duress fraud etc... The initiator/petitioner/plaintiff has requested, committing breach of multiple contracts, that the court is to appoint a new trustee... Therefore the petitioner is not under any charge(s) to have to respond to... Also the petitioner should have no interest in who becomes the next trustee... It is a clear case of a fiduciary trustee wanting out, after accepting the trusteeship, & finding out the account is not going to be as lucrative as first thought... The way to get some of the \$800K pie NOW is to churn em up legal and fiduciary fees before turning it over to another, possible pass it on trustee... There are criminal charges against the petitioner within the civil probate trial... To eliminate confusion the documents will contain the date of printing where applicable... The actual date of filing or mailing can be found by my signature... Please do not