

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-327

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a municipal court judge was prejudiced against him and made improper rulings in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 23, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 23, 2015.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2015-327

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature _____

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

When I was brought to the _____, the judge denied my case and ruled me guilty of assault. I do not understand this because the roommate/perpetrator(s) has a long record/history of crime.

My experience with the _____ with different judges for a few of my court cases has ended unfavorably with no good reason. The judge obviously is guilty of judicial misconduct due to being the same judge for 2 different cases. Later, I got a different judge and due to lack of awareness of _____ in general, I feel I was discriminated against. The judge(s) clearly were biased against not only me as a person but also with my private lawyer who has been practicing law for many years.