

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-330

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly dismissed a forcible entry and detainer action.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 13, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on January 13, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

The judge refused to hear the case yet she still asked irrelevant questions. One was if I enclosed a form with the hearing information. She would not accept that I did despite my trying to show her. I then said how did they know to be here if I didn't send it to them with the complaint?

The case is an eviction for non payment. Defendants tried to raise a title issue to the property for which there is no issue. I told the judge that if they have a problem with that they should sue me as they have threatened to do for years. They have no grounds and no attorney will take their case. Their sole purpose is to continue to live in the property and not pay rent.

claims that he is a buyer not a renter. He was until he breached the lease option and became a holdover tenant in . He paid rent until and continues to live there for free. *He is racking up violations with H.O.A. against me*

The eviction was what needed to happen. If *plus* wants to claim a title issue he *files* should sue me. *against me!*

The judge refused to see the evidence. She had jurisdiction and should have heard the title issue by continuing the case so that the evidence could be presented.

is getting help in how to stay in my property for free from who pulled the same thing in 2008. He is currently in Federal Prison.

I filed the forcible detainer against the before

The case was lost and filed an

Appeal: . He lost that too.