

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 15-339

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made delayed rulings and improper rulings in a dependency action.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 20, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 20, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2015-339

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places _____ will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Failure To Respond To Request on Plaintiff of _____ As 1 _____

Failure To Respond In A Timely Manner,

State AG's office gave false information to court saying there ~~are~~ are family members.

Attach 2 copies
a letter to judge.
b) copy of Amended Request for Hearing.

SENT VIA CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN-RECEIPT REQUESTED AND HAND-DELIVERED
TO JUDICIAL ASSISTANT

To The Honorable

This letter is to request a status update on The Amended Motion I filed on [redacted] entitled [redacted] Amended Request for Hearing on Placement of [redacted]. To the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, neither the [redacted] nor the [redacted] have responded to this Amended Motion and I have not received any correspondence or minute entry with a ruling on this Amended Motion as of the date of this letter.

Pursuant to the Rule 91(e) of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, all matters that require a determination shall be made within 60 days from the date the matter was submitted. As of the date of this letter it has been 44 days since the Motion was filed and delivered to your Honor. As such, I respectfully request an update as to status of my motion and when your Honor may expect to rule on my Amended Motion.

I appreciate your attention in this important matter. My file is [redacted] and is in the matter of the [redacted] children, and I am requesting a hearing on placement. I look forward to receiving a response from your Honor momentarily.

Regards,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
COUNTY

No.

**PETITIONER
AMENDED REQUEST FOR
HEARING ON PLACEMENT OF**

Petitioner hereby submits its Amended Request for Hearing on Placement of Minor Children with as an amendment to Petitioner's original request filed with this Court on i. Petitioner

alleges, states, and requests as follows:

Petitioner contends that it is in the best interest of the minor children in this matter before the Court to have the minor children placed in the

Petitioner contends that placement is most appropriate based on Arizona Revised Statute which such sections state in pertinent part "[...] the *division* or licensed child welfare