

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-002

Judges:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge and three appellate court judges violated his constitutional rights in a criminal matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judges' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety as to all four judges, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Commission member Margaret H. Downie did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 10, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the four judges on February 10, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

AZ commission on Judicial conduct
1501 W. Washington St. Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

My name is _____ and I'am _____
currently incarcerated in _____ Arizona, I have
just recently completed my appeal _____
From my original case _____ in _____
the superior court of _____ county. Between
the superior court & the appeals court I have
had a total of _____ judges whom have had my
case in front of them, I have given all of
them proof of there being constitutional violation
within my case, and on multiple occasions
these same judges have even admitted in
their own words that there was no right to
due process within my case. It is up to
the judges to be competent enough to
recognize violations of the constitution that they
have been sworn to uphold.

2016-002

My first complaint is against _____
in the _____, she had
complete disregard for the constitution of the
United States, allowing a miranda issue to be
ignored in her courtroom, without any question.
My second complaint would be against _____

_____ who in the appellate courts
affirmed the conviction stating that the
miranda violation wasn't so, & that my attorney
hadn't done her job, but I had a proper
due process. For these reasons alone it
shows that these judges have no regard for
the constitution, and raises question to their
competence as judges. I would ^{like} to have it on
record that there has been a complaint filed
by myself against _____.

Thank you

God Bless!