

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-034

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace improperly denied her entry of default in a small claims matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 2, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 2, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL
 State of Arizona
 Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington Street, Ste. 229
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

I would like to file a complaint against _____ for "ethical misconduct".

Non-Compliance w/ the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure:

1: Deadline for Defendant's answer

I telephoned a clerk who said my copy of
Answer/motion had not been entered into Court's record

2: I mailed notarized *application(s) for default* to both

5: I telephoned the Court, and a clerk, named _____ told me that
/motion had been entered into
 The Court Record, my *entry for default* denied, and the
 case was slated for mediation.

Soon after, I telephoned the court, to question the *denial of the
 default*, and another clerk told me "...that deadlines don't
 really matter."

1/29/16: After many verbal requests for a printout of case entries, my
 written request remains unanswered.

Pro-Se Bias vs. my "right to be heard"

Default Judgement was set aside w/out "good cause".

"Appearance of impropriety" exists; as does, the absence of "impartiality"