

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 16-079

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights and made legal errors in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 21, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 21, 2016.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

2016-079

Your Name \_\_\_\_\_

Judges' Name \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Plaintiff brings this action for the wanton violation of his fundamental rights established under the free exercise clause of the 1st Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, but not limited thereto and Federal Statutory laws, and violations of [All] States Statutory laws.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ changed vital rulings from Plaintiff(s) 1st trial to his 2nd trial giving advantage to the State causing "Statutory Error." This not being appropriate because of the denial of Equal Protection of Plaintiff. The "ends of Justice" so require you to investigate/uphold this action as you must look at all the errors which occurred from the 1st trial and 2nd trial, particularly when rights of Constitutional magnitude are at stake, a poor person(s) access to the State & Federal Courts have been violated by \_\_\_\_\_ we must not let this turn into an exercise of facility to access courts. This has created special circumstances indicating the likelihood of substantial prejudice to Plaintiff resulting back some \_\_\_\_\_ years.

For example, from the Plaintiff(s) inability to get transcripts of his 1st & 2nd trials, etc. asked for by the same judge; my assistance at and/or to present the facts and legal issues to a court be it a complex but meritorious case.

(Attach additional sheets, as needed)

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

2016-079

Your Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judges' Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Violations by \_\_\_\_\_

CANNON 2: A Judge will/shall perform the duties  
Impartially.

2. (a) 3 A Judge must be impartial, A pattern such as  
this of "legal Errors" or intentional disregard of  
the law may/does constitute MISCONDUCT.

2.3 A & B (A) A Judge will perform the office & duties  
'without' bias or prejudice.

(B) A Judge shall NOT, in the performance  
of his duties by word or conduct, manifest  
bias or prejudice.

2.15 (A) The higher courts should have seen this bias  
& prejudice and knowledge of all from the request  
for documents in the past. The higher courts  
should have informed the appropriate  
authorities \_\_\_\_\_ years ago.

For these violations, the conduct herein need to  
be investigated and looked at with a keen eye  
to the constitutional magnitude involved.

