

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-082

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights and made improper rulings in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 21, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 21, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-082

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I told many times judge through
Motions as well as letters and in person.
About the lies and constant deception I
have had with my lawyer
and finally requested my right to involc to
Self Representation, and judge denied
me my right!

On top of that the Misivet My
Attewly showed up she gave me a copy of the
Court hearing we were there for that day and
that papper said

Defendant's Motion and
Court hearing for Suppression of State Mett given
to by the Defendant is:
Denied

How can a judge rule on some things he hasn't
even seen the evidence, and the Court hearing
for my Supression had not even began.
denie me

My Right to Repriserf My Self?
I ask that a violation of My Constitutional
Rights?
and Dont say its Not because I know

It is there I ask for the Court transcripts and
Naver got they!