

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-084

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem superior court judge conducted an illegal trial in his case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 21, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 21, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-084

LAIN T AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____ Judge's name: _____ Date: _____

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

I WISH TO HAVE THIS COMMISSION REVIEW AND ASSIST IN MY ONGOING LITIGATION. I HAVE PREVIOUSLY COMPLAINED ABOUT JUDGE _____ HOWEVER SINCE ABOUT _____ I HAVE BEEN ABLE TO UNEARTH AND LOCATE PROOF OF THE ILLEGAL TRIAL JUDGE _____ PROVIDED MY FAMILY AND MYSELF. I AM NOT VERBOSE AND WILL TRY TO BE BRIEF, HOWEVER AFTER _____ YEARS OF APPEALS THE LIST OF DOCUMENTS HAS GROWN. I AM CURRENTLY PRO-SE IN THE _____ WITH _____ THE HEART OF MY APPEAL IS THE CONTINUED CONCEALMENT OF THE _____ CD'S EXHIBITS _____ THAT [WERE] ALTERED / FALSIFIED TO ILLEGALLY CONVICT ME. MY CLAIM OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE IS MORE THAN VALID. MY LATEST EFFORT TO OBTAIN THE _____ CD'S INVOLVED _____ OF THE _____ IN THE EMAIL TO MY FRIEND AND ATT. _____ STATES = SENT "THE COPY YOU SAW AND GOT A COPY OF WOULD BE THE ORIGINAL. ANYTHING USED IN COURT WOULD HAVE BEEN COPIES OF THAT SO I WOULD THINK YOU KNOW (SIC) HAVE THE BEST EVIDENCE. IF YOU WISH TO SEE WHATS IN THE COURTS POSSESSION YOU WOULD NEED TO CONTACT THE CLERKS OFFICE TO VIEW THAT. THEY MAY REQUIRE A COURT ORDER". AGAIN NOT TRYING TO BE OVERLY WORDLY. _____ IS AWARE I SENT FEDERAL SUBPOENAS TO VARIOUS _____ OPT. ECT. GOT NO WHERE. _____ WHO IS ASSIGNED TO MY CASE SAID.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

HE/THE WOULD NOT PROVIDE THE CDS, ASSUMING THEY EVEN HAD THEM, UNLESS THE COURT ORDERS THEM, HIM TO PROVIDE THEM. THIS ILLUSTRATES THE FUNDAMENTAL ISSUE JUDGE ACTIONS HAVE SET IN MOTION. WHEN ALLOWED THE FALSIFIED PAGE TRANSCRIPT WITH CHANGES, AND [] BLANK SPACES, ALONG WITH THE CDS, THAT WERE ALTERED TO MATCH THE CDS, FAILED TO APPLY THE SIMPLE RULE REGARDING MISTAKES / BLANKS PER PAGE. THIS WAS NOT A RULING, BUT ACTIONS A JUDGE CAN [NOT] APPLY WHEN IT SUITS A PROSECUTOR. ON I ADDRESSED ABOUT THE ADMISSIBILITY OF MY STATEMENT, PRIOR TO THE START OF TRIAL AFTER NOON SESSION.

TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS. INSTEAD OF TAKING ACTION OF AN CRUCIAL ELEMENT OF MY DEFENSE, INSTEAD IGNORED THE NEED TO HAVE A LOOK AT THE STATEMENT THAT WAS GOING TO BE USED [IN CHIEF] AT MY TRIAL. WHEN JUDGE LACK OF ATTENTION TO MY TRIAL NEEDS WENT IGNORED SET INTO MOTION A CHAIN OF EVENTS HE/ PROSECUTOR AND WOULD USE TO THWART, SABOTAGE MY APPEALS GOING FORWARD. ON AND DEVISED A RUSE TO KILL ALL OF MY EFFORTS TO APPEAL THE CONVICTION THEY KNEW THEY WOULD GET. TRIAL TRANSCRIPT PAGE AT THE BENCH.

APPROACH THE BENCH AND STATES, IN LOW SPEAKING VOLUME, AS NOT TO BE HEARD BY MYSELF, AND GOES ON TO STATE I WAS, ACCORDING TO RANTING ABOUT ILLEGALS AND THIS WOULD LIKE TO REDACT PORTIONS OF MY STATEMENT AND GO AROUND

FACTS AND THINGS LIKE THAT. DID NOTHING TO STOP THIS ILLEGAL ACTION, WHILE KNOWING THE DAY PRIOR. I HAD SAID NOTHING ABOUT ILLEGALS OR MEXICANS PERIOD. ALSO JUDGE KNEW THE RULES OF THE COURT WOULD NOT HAVE ALLOWED (DETECTIVE) TO BE IN THE COURT ROOM, AS DETECTIVE WAS SEATED NEXT TO. FOR ALL PROCEEDINGS AND THUS COULD NOT HAVE BEEN PRESENT. THESE ARE SEPARATE ACTIONS BY THAT SET IN MOTION THE BULK OF PROBLEMS WITH MY APPEAL GOING FORWARD. ONE MORE ACTION BY IS THAT HE DID NOT DO AN IN CAMERA REVIEW OF THE [] REDACTION AND APPLY THE NEEDED TO PRESERVE THE INTEGRITY OF THE COURT'S REDACTION POLICY/RULE. NOR DID SEAC AND PROVIDE TO THE MOVING PARTY A COPY OF THE REDACTED VERSION, THAT WOULD BE USED AT TRIAL AND BE AVAILABLE FOR THE RECORD GOING FORWARD, FOR APPELLANTS PURPOSES. MY PRO-SE PETITION TO THE COURT OF APPEALS CAN PROVIDE THE DETAILS OF [ALL] OF THE ABOVE ACTIONS OF JUDGE, AS I HAVE ONLY SO MANY PAGES TO GAIN YOUR ATTENTION, TO HAVE THIS COMMISSION LOOK INTO MY VALID AND IMPORTANT COMPLAINT. I CAN ONLY HOPE THIS COMMISSION CAN FULLY UNDERSTAND THE PROFOUND RESULT MY CLAIMS WILL BRING TO THE CORE OF ACTIONS AND ILLEGAL TRIALS HE IS STILL CONDUCTING. THIS COMMISSION IS

BEING MADE AWARE AS OF THAT
THERE IS A CORRUPT JUDGE STILL HOLDING
TRIALS AND THE INTEGRITY OF ALL HIS
TRIALS SINCE ARE SUBJECT
TO REVIEW, WHEN MY CLAIMS PREVAIL. I
HAVE THE DOCUMENTS, AS DO SEVERAL ATTORNE-
YS TO SUPPORT MY CLAIMS. MY HABEAS WAS
SOME PAGES AND THIS COMMISSION CAN
EASILY EMAGINE THAT THE FACT I GOT
PROVES MY TRIAL WAS VERY LIKELY NOT LEGAL.
I WILL PROVIDE THE DOCUMENTS THIS COMMISS-
ION NEEDS, IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO OBTAIN THE
RELEVANT DOCUMENTS NEEDED TO UNDERSTAND THE
CLAIMS IM NOW STATING AS FACT. A LIST OF THE
ATTORNEYS INVOLVED AND THEIR PHONE NUMBERS IS
LISTED. THIS COMMISSION'S PURPOSE IS TO UPHOLD
THE INTEGRITY OF THE JUSTICE SYSTEM AND TO
HOLD THOSE WHO ABUSE ITS FUNCTION ACC-
OUNTABLE. AS MY CASE IS NOT GOING AWAY
I ASK FOR ANY AND ALL HELP TO REMOVE THE
MENECE OF A CORRUPT JUDGE FROM DESTROYING
ANY MORE LIVES. Thanks

HABEAS ATT'S

ATT ASS. IN CONVICTION INTEG. ISSUE

DIRECT APPEAL ATT.

TRIAL ATT.

PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE.

I HAVE KEPT THIS COMPLAINT BRIEF, HOWEVER TO FULLY UNDERSTAND MY COMPLAINT,

CAN PROVIDE THE DOCUMENT NEED TO FULLY INVESTIGATE MY CLAIMS, AND WILL COMPLY WITH REQUEST FOR THE NEEDED DOCUMENTS, AS HE CARES ABOUT MY NEEDS.

sincerely

PLEASE SEND BACK A COPY OF THIS COMPLAINT IF POSSIBLE.

SUP. COURT NO.

DIS. COURT NO.

MOST RELEVANT DOCUMENT ON JUDGE

PRO-SE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF UNDER 28. U.S.C. § 2254 EXPLAINS JUDGE ACTIONS BEST. - - -