

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-100

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against her and made improper rulings in a custody matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: May 18, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 18, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-100

CMO

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The Honorable _____ was unfair and very bias when she made the decision or ruling to forcefully remove a child with gun force from a unmarried birth mother who was never properly served. _____ knowingly accepted an amended petition in place of an original petition. _____ was bias when she made the harsh and rash decision to remove all rights from a birth mother in her ruling only allowing _____ hours of supervised visitation when had only fathers testimony. _____ decision and rulings were unjustified, harsh, and clearly not in the best interest of the child when no factual evidence but merely here say or emails taken out of context was all she used. _____ allowed this hearing to become personal when she ruled over and beyond the request of the petitioner. _____ who seemed frustrated in her decisions did not allow Respondent the same rights as she did the Petitioner. Civil and Parental rights were completely ignored by _____ No government agency or court should be able to interfere with a parents rights who is not proven unfit, has no criminal record, and no prior complaints. No child or mother should suffer from any courts unjustified decision made by a judge who failed to follow basic Civil and Due Process Procedural rules. _____ also failed to comply with proper jurisdiction as both parents and the child _____ prior resided in _____

_____ The only person involved in this case in _____ at the time of filing was Attorney _____ simply allowed a lot of small technical details slide in the court which had severe long lasting side effects to the Mother and Child. The Arizona Rules of Family Law Procedure and the Civil Rules of Procedural Law both were not followed and the judge has violated not only a mothers birth given rights to her own child but also _____ children who depend on their parents to protect them. _____ ruling removed that mothers right to protect her children.