

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 16-108

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in several civil matters and denied her reasonable accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: May 25, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 25, 2016.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**INSTRUCTIONS**

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

SBB ATTACHMENTS



Succinctly: Relief from Retaliation and Abuse of Process engendered litigation "cases within a case" were filed on I made a Motion re Rule 8(f) Complex Litigation. See Attachment "Complex Litigation."

This is what I know transpired: After I filed the cases in the Filing Room of I was instructed to take the pleadings where the cases would be assigned to a judge.

Because I was filing for Complex Litigation the Clerk called his Supervisor, whose name I fail to recall. She read my statement and we discussed the need. The Supervisor instructed the Clerk to assign ALL of the cases to She instructed me to immediately take the files to Court and Request an Emergency Hearing.

I did and the Supervisor said: At I arrived at however had left office. I spoke with JA, who impressed me as a very capable Assistant. She and I discussed the need for Complex Litigation and the Request for an Emergency Hearing. I gave her my direct contact information. I waited for a call that never came.

Quite suddenly I was being inundated with Responses from the Defendants and their attorneys. A chaotic, burdensome legal quagmire ensued. I was so overwhelmed with timely dealing with a morass of legal documents and doing research I didn't have time to figure out what had happened.

But to the salient point of how the mess came about, who was responsible and when the action was discovered, it must be known that recently my computer was very recently hacked and my electronic files accessed; some files were deleted and other docs transferred to dormant folders so they would go unnoticed. Moreover I was threatened. Cybercrime was verified by a third party. These matters were timely reported to law enforcement and other authorities in addition to the Court.

That said, I have had to reconstruct my files by going through many reams of hard copies; A labor intensive, time-consuming process. On the I was in the process of drafting a pleading when I discovered a document which gave pause for consideration: denied Complex Litigation and reassigned the case to .

Having discovered that ruling I checked the other folders and found a similar document. denied Complex Litigation and assigned each case to different Courts. Denial and Reassignment."

That's how this legal nightmare came about: By denying Complex Litigation . compelled me to *simultaneously* deal with the expectations, demands and temperament of different Courts. ADA Title II - 3.11000: "The prohibition against... coercion applies broadly to any individual or entity that seeks to prevent an individual from exercising her rights... Any form of ...coercion, including... *interference*, is prohibited if it interferes with the exercise of rights under the Act."

Rhetorically, why would knowingly inflict such hardship and intentional and emotional distress? Why would sabotage my cases? intentions are clear but what was motive? had to have known the ramifications of ruling. Why would hinder due-process?

reckless disregard of information gleaned from my statement for Complex Litigation engendered an episode of symptoms from exacerbation of my disability, "...Limitations" to extreme stress to the point where I could not think clearly subsequently poor insight and judgment. See "Statement of

My health was compromised to the point a Reasonable Accommodation and Title II - 3.6000 Reasonable Modifications, which was denied by assigned Courts. ADA Title II - 3.2000 Denial of Participation. Rhetorically, why were my Civil Rights being denied? Why was I being discriminated against? ADA Title II - 4.3200

Furthermore with the exception of Courts I sensed hostility. To that point of issue I have a notion that withheld my Statement for Complex Litigation which also served as an overview of the entwined, symbiotic cases involving ADA Title II and Title III as the litigious matters stemmed from a "Joint Venture" that went awry. The obligation is that of Title II, in this case the

Admittedly I was out of my element. I had not worked professionally since I had "...Limitations." Notwithstanding I was determined to "put something on the plate" albeit sans reference to appropriate statutes, ill-formatted, unstructured and unorthodox material.

That said, I expected an equal, objective and level venue on which to present my claims for vindication and redress, my entitlements to due-process. I learned about Rule 8(i) Complex Litigation which could modulate the stress as I researched applicable statutes to correlate with infractions of laws which would support the appropriate claims. I also expect(ed) the Court to comply with ADA Title II - 4.3200 Reasonable Accommodation.

Why did deny Complex Litigation? Why was I denied a Request for an Emergency Hearing? Why would fracture the "cases within a case" which diminished the integrity and thus the value of the claim(s)? Why would knowingly put such hardship on me, intentionally inflicting distress which triggered an episode which precluded due-process? Why did the Court(s) deny participation and respect of the ADA?