

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-113

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge did not afford him an opportunity to be heard, improperly refused to admit his evidence, and improperly made an offer of settlement in a protective order proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: June 22, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 22, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided are true and correct. The allegations of judicial misconduct are based on true and correct information.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

I, _____ began opening statement when asked but struck it from the record.

I, _____ requested to have evidence submitted that would have proven the Plaintiff lying, several times, but would not allow me to submit it.

_____ allowed Plaintiff to submit all evidence but did not extend the same to the defendant,

_____ closed by requesting that if I, _____ has an order of protection, _____ against Plaintiff, dismiss my order of protection against Plaintiff _____ not uphold or rather dismiss the order against me. As a result, since I would not dismiss the order of protection against _____ would not dismiss the order of protection against me.

In other words, _____ is stating I'm innocent of charges only if I dismiss the order of protection against someone who threatened my life.

I, repeatedly requested my evidence be submitted stating that my evidence would prove the plaintiff lying and repeatedly would not allow me to submit my evidence.

would not allow previous testimony from from my previous order of protection against

and his accomplice, not only committed perjury both cases but contradicted themselves in these cases. If

allowed me to present evidence I would have easily been able to prove the party had lied in numerous to all testimony?