

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-144

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner, now retired, was biased against him, engaged in improper ex parte communication, and made improper rulings in a mental health proceeding. The complainant also alleged another superior court commissioner, also now retired, was biased against him and engaged in improper demeanor in the same mental health proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judicial officers engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioners' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioners did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety as to both commissioners, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: July 13, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judicial officers on July 13, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-144

PAGE 2/4

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I WAS PROMISED A TRANSCRIPT OF THIS CASE AND NEVER GIVEN ONE; THE REASON FOR ALL THE UNKNOWN

NOTE: QUIT AT
BECAUSE OF THIS CASE, DEALING WITH COURTS ON A DAILY BASIS HE TOLD ME DIRECTLY THAT I WAS BY THIS HEARING.

(1) IT WAS QUITE OBVIOUS THAT THE JUDGE WAS AND BIASED AGAINST ME, DURING THE PRESENTATION HE WAS LEANING FORWARD WITH HIS ARMS ON THE TABLE, BUT WHEN THE DEFENSE PRESENTED ITS CASE HE TURNED SIDEWAYS SO THAT THE DEFENSE WAS TALKING TO HIS SHOULDERS
- THIS CANNOT BE "SEEN" IN THE TRANSCRIPTS -

(2) THE JUDGE ALLOWED PREVIOUS ACTS TO BE PART OF THE PROSECUTIONS PRESENTATION EVEN THOUGH THEY HAPPENED IN _____, AND THAT CASE AGAINST ME WAS DROPPED (

(3) THE JUDGE REFUSED TO BELIEVE THAT THE COMMITMENT PAPERS WERE SIGNED THE SAME

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-144

PNOR 2/14

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

(3) DAY AS THE SHOOTING AT THE
OF
NOTE; THE COMMITMENT PAPERS WERE
NOT ADMITTED AS EVIDENCE AND I DID
NOT SEE THEM UNTIL MONTHS LATER
I ATTEMPTED TO GET TO SHOW THEM TO ME
BUT HE REFUSED, THROUGHOUT THIS ENTIRE
PROCESS ACTED LIKE A QUZZLING
AND WAS IN FACT MORE USEFUL AS A PROSECUTION
ATTORNEY THAN A DEFENSE ATTORNEY

(4) I HAD BEEN KEPT AWAKE BY THE
FOR DAYS AND WAS
EXTREMELY TIRED FOR THIS HEARING

(5) AS I DESCRIBED BEING SET UP BY
I SPOKE IN META PHORE ABOUT BEING
ENRAGED BY, WHICH THE
JUDGE TOOK AS A LITETAL STATEMENT
IN HIS CLOSING STATEMENT.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-144

4/18
PACED

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

(6) EVERY WITNESS FOR THE PROSECUTION
PERJURED THEMSELVES ()

(7) THE PROSECUTION FAILED TO ADMIT INTO
EVIDENCE THE THE EXACT SAME CHARGES
AGAINST ME WERE DISMISSED BY THE
+ THIS WOULD
MAKE THE COMMITMENT A DOUBLE JEOPARDY CASE
WHICH IS ALSO A CRIMINAL ACT

(8) I WAS COMMITTED FOR MAKING THREATS
BUT NOT ONCE HAD ANY ONE
EVER DEMONSTRATED WHERE I ACTUALLY
MADE A THREAT - NO ONE

(9) AFTER THE HEARING, THE JUDGE WAS SEEN
SOCIALIZING WITH _____ WHEN
THE JUDGE SAID THAT HE HAD BEEN
SEEN SOCIALIZING WITH _____ WE
QUICKLY SLINKED OUT OF _____
- I HAVE BEEN TOLD THAT THIS WAS IMPROPER -

(10) - OTHER EVENTS
FOR MORE COMPLETE DETAILS SEE THE
TRUST I MAILED TO YOU -

NOTE:
LEAD COUNCIL FOR THE
RECOMMENDED THAT I RE-SENT THIS COMPLAINT
W/ SHE BELIEVES IT HAS MERIT.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-144

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

NOTE: THESE WERE ACTUALLY THREE HEARINGS
BUT THE JUDGE KNEW ABOUT
THIS HEARING FOR A MONTH AND STILL
ASSIGNED A JUDGE WHICH HAD TO EXCUSE
HERSELF BECAUSE SHE KNEW
FROM _____ - SO THIS HEARING DID NOT HAPPEN
THIS IS A CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATION ACCORDING
TO ARS, AS THE JUDICIARY HAD A MONTH
TO REASSIGN THIS CASE AND DID NOT

AT THIS GET OFF HEARING THE JUDGE
WAS BITTER AND EXTREMELY ANGRY AS
WAS PATENTLY OBVIOUS

BEFORE THE HEARING THE JUDGE INTENTIONALLY
WALKED OUT OF HER WAY TO INTENTIONALLY
INTERRUPT MY DISCUSSIONS WITH
AND _____ JUST HAVE
GIVE HER GREETINGS AND _____

HER DEMONOR WAS ABSOLUTELY VILE AND
MENTIONED THIS AFTER THE JUDGE LEFT

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-144

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

THE ONLY WITNESS FOR THE PROSECUTION
WAS A _____ (WHOM I HAD
ONLY MET FOR _____ MINUTES) HE
PROMPTLY PERJURED HIMSELF ON THE STAND, THIS WAS OBVIOUS
_____ SAID THAT SHE WOULD FOLLOW-UP
ABOUT THIS _____ RENEWAL, BUT
AS SOON AS HE GOT BACK TO _____ WE LEFT
IT WAS VERY OBVIOUS THAT HE WAS PERJURING
HIMSELF, BUT THAT MADE ABSOLUTELY NO
DIFFERENCE

NO RECORDER AT THIS HEARING, BUT IT
WAS TAPE RECORDED

BROUGHT UP MY FIRST ADMENDMENT
RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH
AND THIS JUDGE STATED

" I DON'T GIVE A DAMN ABOUT
HIS (MY) CIVIL RIGHTS "

SHE WAS SO ANGRY AND BITTER THAT SHE COULD
HAVE BEEN ADMITTED TO A PSYCHIATRIC UNIT.

BOTH AND
SAID THAT THEY WOULD FILE COMPLAINTS
AGAINST THIS JUDGE, BUT
DID NOTHING AND WAS A
PROSECUTION QUIZZING AND WAS TOTALLY UNPREPARED
FOR THIS CASE

I SHOULD HAVE HAD ANOTHER GET OFF COT HEARING
BUT THIS WAS POINTLESS CONSIDERING HOW
"DEAF" THE JUDGE WAS WITH HER BITTER TEMPERAMENT

ALSO TESTIFIED BY

HIS TESTIMONY WAS ALSO NOTHING BUT PERJURY
AS HE TRIED TO DEFLECT ALL QUESTIONS DIRECTED
TO HIM WERE DEFLECTED.