

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-155

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace was biased in favor of the defense during a criminal matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: July 27, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 27, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature _____

Date _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

This case was originally found in favor of prosecution based on presentation of facts supplied to the court through the Prosecutor's office. At the end a now retired judge paused and Defense Counsel said nothing. After said pause, the Judge ruled Defense then that it had been denied its right

Defense did not deny that its own position over the course of original making it inconsistent. During though, As I suffered from I requested assistance from Prosecutor's office to review case notes from denied this request. He said that the would go forward same as before, " knowing my recollection was shot out.

My Complaint against the softens. To what extent Prosecutor knew that was framed, it's impossible to say. As the articles of evidence, admittedly out of order. were thrown at me hodgepodge. I was directed to write out prosecution's " in spite of fact that my ability to recollect these events,

() During the defense counselor got up and left -- another display of biased slant that promoted.

The Judge found my testimony " So not guilty. As my role was compromised per closed door deliberations, not a careful review of facts. The featured information withheld from me and unfair reconstruction of events. Their private arrangement to put me up on display as Prosecutor's choreographer--a strategy Judge, Defense & Prosecutor advanced--was wrong. This outcome assigned to was managed for Defendant, who's lawyer, btw, was only in part present. adopted a hostile-attitude. mincing my words, creating false inferences. As sprung out at me defensively, simply sat watching " disjointed while objecting to hearsay evidence admissions like a broken record.