

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-163

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge engaged in improper ex parte communication.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: July 20, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 20, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST IN COUNSEL
AFTER COUNSEL ADVISED I)
TO CHANGE AND OR PROPER
I HAVE SUBMITTED OVER
OF CHANGE, MATTERS
IN PRIOR CASE LEADS TO BARRING
BENCH MEETING AND THEN
CASE TO
AGAINST THE RIGHT TO DUE
PROCESS CAUSE OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

Other Complaints
unequal protection