

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 16-204

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace improperly granted a protective order.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 31, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 31, 2016.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.**

**I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.**

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

<p>A. On or about _____ colluded with _____ to file a protection order to interfere with my _____ custody of our common daughter _____. She lied and obtained this protection order based on words from _____ which were in whole false. I actually protected our daughter, _____ from _____ ) on _____. Because of this action that I took as a responsible father, my parenting rights were systematically removed by these women and the sexually biased _____ court system. The fact that women can commit these actions, commit perjury and win de facto custody of a child is a major problem in the _____ and _____.</p> <p>B. On or about _____ submitted a request with the same _____ in the same _____ for another _____ renewal, a protection order that keeps myself from contacting or seeing our daughter _____ of whom I have _____ custody and parenting time. _____ stated the exact same items as the original order. This renewal was granted despite the fact that the domestic situation that occurred on _____ (in which _____ made many false claims against myself) was resolved on _____, in the very same _____ with myself accepting a plea deal of _____ to be finished with the situation (and because I was told that I would not be able to obtain complete exoneration despite never having actually committed a crime). During this plea agreement _____ and never proven to be true- _____ ( _____ )</p> <p>_____ ). No new situations had occurred nor had I made any attempt whatsoever to contact _____ despite the severe hardship it placed on myself from not seeing or talking to my daughter _____.</p> <p>C. The person who lied about the events that occurred on _____, applied to have both protections orders against myself from this situation removed within _____ of the incident and has not sought another protection order against me. Myself and _____ lived together for the next _____ with no incident. Even though the events that occurred the night of _____ had nothing to do with _____ a protection order stood concerning them. Neither of these people were victims in any way. The whole design of this protection order was not to protect _____ from any threat but do interfere with my custodial and parental rights. _____ saw an opportunity to obtain de facto full custody of our daughter, _____, and was given the green light by the _____ court system to do so.</p> <p>D. I find the renewal and original protection order against myself for a situation in which resulted in a _____ to be cruel and unusual punishment. This de facto suspension of my parenting rights without a hearing, without evidence of any wrong doing, is in direct violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth amendments of the United States Constitution.</p>
---

E. I find the need to defend myself from accusations that have since been resolved, once again in the \_\_\_\_\_, a violation of the Fifth amendment of the United States Constitution, The protection order interfering with my parental and custodial rights was immediately granted, once again, without hearing. The duty was once again put onto myself to obtain legal counsel and defend myself from the same accusations repeatedly. Due to extreme financial burden I have been unable to provide proper counsel for myself concerning this matter and have been unable to see or communicate with \_\_\_\_\_laughter for

"nor shall any person be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law;"

F. I find that if the State of Arizona supports a judge and system of court that regularly allows for granting protection orders in these situations, without any investigation into the events that occurred and takes children from parents under these false pretenses, without a hearing beforehand or presentation of any evidence, the State of Arizona is then in direct contradiction of the law of these United States of America, our Constitution. If the State of Arizona does indeed support these illegal operations under the guise of "protecting women", then it is to be considered a rogue state operating under a government that is not serving the citizens nor working under the original charter of the US Government and the founding of this nation, with all of the state's hired forces now considered to be mercenaries for this illegal system that can no longer be rightfully called a "court of law" or "justice court" by any means. Under these circumstances all of the authority originally vested to these organizations is no longer valid.