

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-215

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights and imposed an improper sentence.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: September 28, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 28, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Comp

2016-215

Arizona Commission on
Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington St. suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

I the Defendant in this case, comes now before this
Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct. I strongly
believe that, there are conduct violations by

Conduct violations are:

1. The Due Process LAW
2. Sentencing Guidelines at sentencing
3. Violations of Amendments (5)(6)(14) and
4. Violations of Criminal Procedure

On _____, my Plea Agreement was filed with
and accepted by _____ -an open plea with
NO prior convictions, see exhibit ()

I was sentenced on _____ and was filed with the
courts on _____ (see exhibit ()). I believe
violated his sworn duties (abuse of power) by
not up-holding the Defendant's Constitutional Rights and
the Amendments follow, Amendments (5)(6)(14); because,
allowed the prosecutor (

then) to present evidence only at sentencing, excepted as aggravating factors; that aggravated the Defendant's pre-signed Plea Agreement. I also believe that was in violation of the Rules of Criminal Procedure because he allowed aggravating evidence at sentencing with out Due Proess.

also did not disclose any information regarding the Defendant's motion(s) for Clarification on Sentencing Imposed. The first motion was denied on (see exhibit (1)). The second motion was filed on (see motion, exhibit ()) with its denied response from the courts.

I truly believe that their are violations in conduct, violations of Due Proess, Sentencing Guidelines at sentencing, not abiding by the Amendments (3), (6), (14), violations in the Criminal Procedure Law, that may prohibit aggravating factors entered in only at sentencing, that aggravated an open Plea Agreement with NO prior convictions.

Sincerely,