

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-238

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace hearing officer had acted with prejudice and hostility toward him in a public forum.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the hearing officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the hearing officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: November 16, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the hearing officer on November 16, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

comp

2016-238

Attn: Commission on Judicial Conduct

Subject: Conduct of _____ at _____

I write this letter as a follow-up to the initial complaint that I submitted to _____ on _____. My initial letter was redirected by _____, to the incorrect department. Henceforth, my concern was not addressed and I have not received any correspondence since. I decided to initiate contact again since the individual which my complaint is against, has continued to act in a manner which is unethical and unprofessional. It is my understanding that I now have the appropriate contact information.

The misconduct of _____ is so extensive, that I find it difficult to derive a logical explanation but I do know that it is fueled by jealousy. His mission to destroy me, began when he learned of my relationship with his ex. His behavior is vindictive, malicious, purposefully guarded, and surprisingly sloppy. I have included my initial complaint letter, in which I attempt to explain how I have come to know of _____ and a few of the malicious acts that he had undertaken at that time. Since then, he has continued to drive his personal vendetta for me.

- It has been brought to my attention that _____ has disseminated my records to more people than originally thought. This is with the assistance of _____. Mind you, this act was for no purpose other than to cause embarrassment to me, compromise my career, and to have me evicted from my home. While I understand the information that _____ disseminated is public record, it was unsolicited by any recipient.
 - My mother and father (embarrassment)
 - My children's mother – first wife (embarrassment)
 - My sister (embarrassment)
 - My fiancé (attempting to break our relationship)
 - _____ (attempting to cause eviction)
 - _____ (attempting to end my career)
 - _____ (attempting to end my career)
 - Possibly others that haven't informed me
 - At the time these packages were received by the above recipients, _____ was the only individual to request copies of my _____. He made mention in the letter that he included in the package, that the _____ information was included.
 - _____ replicated the records at least _____ times, placed them into manila envelopes, and put a return address of: _____

He then mailed from the Post Office zip code _____, which is near his home.

- He and _____ have traded degrading conversation about me in public forum (
- He has encouraged _____ to file a senseless lawsuit against me, using one of _____ former colleagues as the Attorney for Plaintiff. The attorney, _____ of _____, where _____ was employed in _____ and _____, is aware of _____ past relationship with my fiancé and _____ past relationship with me.

The examples do continue and demand investigation. Additionally, in public forum _____ exhibits time and again that he acts with prejudice and hostility toward anyone or any group who does not agree with his beliefs and or ideas. He even stated on _____ on his _____ page, “ _____ ” In other words, he is expressing that

Surely, this type of behavior and public outburst cannot be the representation that the _____, or _____ would accept of any employee. This behavior is not a one-time slip up. He has demonstrated this to be his character over a long period of time and on several occasions. I believe that _____ should be seen as unfit to hold any office or be granted any license of importance in the state of Arizona or any other state in the US. His comments and ideology would prohibit him from even sitting on a Jury. How can he be trusted to act with fairness and integrity in a judicial capacity?

I have supporting evidence for all of my claims and will be happy to provide them.

Respectfully,