

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-240

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace set an improper bond. The complainant also alleged a justice of the peace improperly denied him counsel and made improper rulings in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judges' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 12, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on October 12, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

1. NO LAWYER FOR CRIMINAL COMPLAINT
DEFIES RULING IN
- VS.
2. NO WITNESSES TESTIFY FOR PROSECUTION
3. ACCEPTANCE OF HEARSAY EVIDENCE FOR
PROSECUTION BUT DENIED FOR DEFENSE.
4. NO MIRANDA
5. # BOND
6. (IGNORANT OF) - (LAW)
7. NO INVESTIGATION - DAMAGE INCONGRUENT
8. NO PERSONS ON
9. BOTH VEHICLES LEFT SCENE - (I UNAWARE)
10. POLICE STATEMENT INACCURATE - (CONTRADICTIVE)
11. MATHEMATICAL JUDGEMENT EQUATIONS
HIGHLY QUESTIONABLE
12. ENCARCERATION UNNECESSARY

1. - NO LAW DECREES
2. DEFENDANT HAS ALL DOCUMENTS AFFORDED HIM BY COURT INCLUDING TRANSCRIPTS.
3. WITNESSES AND/OR DEPOSITIONS CAN BE PRODUCED.
4. DEFENSE INSURANCE PAID DAMAGES (WITHOUT PROVEN GUILT)
5. DEFENSE LOSSES
 - BOND
 - TRUCK - REPO
 - WAGES
 - INSURANCE - PTS. ON LICENSE

} PAWNED

JUDGEMENT + INTEREST

1. DO I NEED TO RETAIN A LAWYER FOR THIS INQUIRY?
2. WOULD PRESS COVERAGE HELP WITH THE INVESTIGATION THAT THE LAW DIDN'T FIND RELEVANT? (WITNESSES)

DATE'S

() - ONGOING