

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-252

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem superior court judge cannot be fair and impartial in his criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 19, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 19, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

RE:

Comp
And

2016-252

I AM WRITING TO YOUR OFFICE CONCERNING JUDGE
IN DIVISION IN ARIZONA

WHO IS CURRENTLY PRESIDING OVER TWO OF MY CASES AND WHO
SIGNED THE WARRANT TO SEARCH MY RESIDENCE. I KNOW YOUR
OFFICER CAN'T CHANGE ANY DECISIONS HE'S MADE OR ANY MOTIONS,
BUT I BELIEVE HE CAN'T REMAIN FAIR AND IMPARTIAL AS OUT-
LINED IN ARTICLE 6.1 OF OUR CONSTITUTION OR HONOR ETHICAL
RULES OF JUDICIARY CONDUCT. I BELIEVE HE SHOULD RECUSE
HIMSELF FROM MY CASE OR EXPLAIN TO THIS COMMISSION -
ON HOW HE CAN SENTENCE MY CO-DEFENDANT AND REMAIN
NEUTRAL TO MY BETTER INTEREST? AN INVESTIGATION IS BEING
SOUGHT WITH SANCTIONS, SINCE I AM ENTITLED TO REDRESS.

THANK-YOU SINCERELY