

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-291

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged multiple judicial officers violated his right to a speedy trial.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judicial officers engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officers' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: December 7, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judicial officers
on December 7, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Your Honor,

I write to bring attention to violations of the 'ARIZONA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT'. I will try to remain brief as I know your time is precious.

IF one searches the records in _____
in RE. case _____

1) _____ on _____ ruled that
" _____ "

both state and counsel confirmed this case will be tried
come _____

2) _____ on _____ acknowledged
ruling but issues a _____
continuence

over defendant's objection - "
as counsel of record of _____ (_____)
stated ":

" "

3) See Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure Rule 8
Namely Rule 8.1(d), 8.5, and 8.6

- Note defendant in custody since _____

4) _____ recieved letter written to _____ by
defendant pointing out Constitutional violations &
disregard for his ruling as well as counsel's failure
to maintain the code of Professional Conduct cite
PREAMBLE ¶ [5] ... a lawyers duty to uphold legal process.
This letter _____ refused to make part of the
record but read 'FOR THE RECORD' "

" cited: Rule 2.15(c)(D)

Date was _____

5)

assigned _____

thru _____

receives letter written by defendant pointing out error, again Rule 8.6 is cited as 'a rule riddled with exceptions provides little if any guidance to judges and attorneys' cited: Rule 2.15(c)(D)

6)

assigned _____
sentencing _____

proceeds thru trial and final

on eve of trial all motions some of which on file since were '_____', of these motions filed _____ of _____ were entitled to _____ rulings as a matter of law. No motion was ever viewed by the court.

I only seek that No citizen should ever have to endure such lengthy pre-trial incarceration the Judges on bench need to be accountable as well as hold the lawyers accountable to uphold our Constitutions rights to speedy trial.

Please forward reply to: _____

Thank you.

2016-201

was also written
to multiple times in regards to judges refusal to
honor speedy trial rules - or previous rulings
as well violations to Rule 42
To date defendant has never recieved a reply.

Note defendant is unaware of ANY contact
to For a suspension of
Any of the Rules of Criminal Procedure
states in Rule of Crim. Proc. 8.2 and 8.4