

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-309

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings related to the division of retirement benefits in a family law case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: December 21, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 21, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-309

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Case number
V.S.

Hon.

See attached.

You may call:

Complaint Against A Judge The Attachment.

2016-309

1. Becoming a QDRO attorney and not a Judge.
2. Over extending her authority a second time.
3. Gross negligence.

has been negligent in her duties. Her behavior is similar when
The case number I am referring to
You will see that perjured herself by adding an additional year
to the could have just looked at the divorce
decree to determine the correct date. My attorney, told me to
contact a QDRO attorney. I talked to QDRO attorney and I was
told that it was highly unusual to do a QDRO without a proper QDRO attorney.
She also stated that she has never heard of a Judge helping a plaintiff with
a QDRO. I would like to pay me back the money that she helped
extort from me. It was an over payment of If this isn't
the definition of gross negligence then I don't know what it could possibly
be! My attorney told me that I will not be able to collect the stolen money
and in family court no one follows the penalty of perjury.

Some blame has to go the Judicial Committee because out of complaints in
only judges was one of those Judges.
Therefore, I feel that I shouldn't have had to go through all this financial
and emotional stress. The Committee should now noticed some similarities
with my case and the one has the
same behavior pattern in both cases. It wouldn't surprise me that she has
. Now I have to pay additional attorney fees because
it has prolonged and complicated this situation. did not accept
my attorney proposal therefore I have to endure additional cost. I have a
total of accounts at the time of my divorce. So why didn't
want to get involved in my other accounts? Why is it that I have
to pay for a QDRO attorney if a Judge can supersede this process? I feel
that has over extended her authority? Now I have been assigned
a new . Could me and my ex-wife get back just to do the
other QDRO's because charges over due to the complexity
that has caused. I would like some JUSTICE! So now I have
included the following for your review:

- 1: Request for QDRO for .
2. My attorney's letter.