

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-314

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was prejudiced against him and improperly denied his release from custody.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: December 21, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 21, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-314

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

UPON MY FIRST TIME BEFORE
ON MY ATTORNEY AT THE TIME
WAS PUBLIC DEFENDER AND FOR WHICH
SHE HAD ONLY COME TO VISIT ME ONE TIME. I
WAS SCHEDULED FOR A PRE TRIAL SERVICES RELEASE
TO MY MOMS, MY LAWYER WAS INFORMED BY MC
THROUGH A LETTER STATING I HAD PLANNED TO FIRE
HER THAT DAY, ON A CONFLICT OF INTEREST.
SO WHILE WE WERE STANDING BEFORE
MY ATTORNEY FIRST BROUGHT UP THE FACT THAT I
WAS GOING TO FIRE HER, AND MENTIONING THE
FACT THAT I HAD WRITTEN TO THE JUDICIAL CON-
DUCT COMMISSION. SEEMED ANGRY WITH
ME AND HE DIDN'T EVEN WANT TO HER WHY? SO WHAT I
DONT UNDERSTAND AFTER LET HER GO.
LET HER CONTINUE WITH MY PRE TRIAL
SERVICES RELEASE TO MY MOMS, AND FOR WHICH MY
ATTORNEY BROUGHT UP THE FACT THAT I WAS HOMELESS
FOR WHICH I WAS NOT, (PRE TRIAL SERVICES APPROVED MY
RELEASE TO MY MOMS) BUT DENIED
MY MOTION FOR RELEASE. WITH MY ATTORNEY'S HALF HEARTED
ATTEMPT, I THEN ASKED MY ATTORNEY THAT I THOUGHT I
HAD FIRED HER. TO ASK FOR A BOND REDUCTION
MY BOND" BECAUSE I HAVE
AND PLEASE LOOK ME UP, I ALWAYS SHOW UP FOR COURT CASES,
I WAS DENIED A BOND REDUCTION! BIASED & IMPARTIAL!

* NOTE MY SEX CASE WAS , SINCE IVE ALWAYS REGISTERED.