

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-320

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings and was biased.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: January 11, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 11, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2016-320

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

_____ has not provided my family with fair hearings throughout the longevity of this case.

_____ has not provided us with requested Appeal Hearings and/or Emergency Hearings.

_____ has approved an order without appropriate Hearing procedures as directed in court of law. Order was signed off Without ALL parties present and no hearing on order submitted by _____

By Arizona State Law, Children should be returned to family within _____ of this case starting who is able and willing to care for the children.

_____ has denied placement of my biological children with family within _____ which is a direct violation of Arizona State Laws.

_____ has not granted new court hearing and has denied the original court hearings for our family and per numerous requests and is NOW on emergency medical leave.

_____ is not capable of continuing to be unbiased on this case and has showed extreme favoritism on this case since the very beginning. (Witnesses can attest to this)