

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-355

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The commission reviewed a newspaper article a pro tem justice of the peace wrote about a creditor's efforts to collect a debt.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission approved sending the judge an advisory letter to be mindful of the obligations of Rule 1.2 (Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary) when writing law-related articles. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Commission members Gus Aragon, Art Hinshaw, and George Foster did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: March 21, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez
Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 21, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

By | **Posted:**

One of my responsibilities is to handle complaints and problems.

The phone rang; the gentleman was very distraught. He had a
him and a was
They were making all . They were going to
They were going to
, and they were

I first looked up , and and told
him which was
. Then, I explained the
report and his was at that point and
whether he paid or not, it was

I asked him and he said .

I asked, “ ?”

He said, “ .

I said, “ ?”

He said, “ ”

I said, “ ”

I asked, “ ?”

He said, “ ”

I said, “ ”

He said, “ ”

I asked, “ ?”

He said, “ ”

I said, “

”

He seemed .

I said, “

”

Lesson:

2016-355