

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-037

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge insulted his intelligence.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member George H. Foster, Jr. did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: March 29, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 29, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

On _____ through _____
 _____ was the trial judge in my case,
 _____ deemed a mistrial on _____
 due to the jury being unable to render a verdict.

This is in the FTR recording

On _____ was the settlement
 conference judge, _____ along with the state
 talked about a date to retry the case, and pushed
 for a trial date as soon as possible and settled for _____

This is in the FTR recording

On _____ was forced to
 continue due to trial transcripts not being ready and
 were not until _____ stated
 as a conversation about the _____
 would not be available due to his promotion to _____
 _____ continued the trial for _____
 as opposed to the _____ after the settlement
 conference.

At this Court hearing, the defendant invoked his
 pro per right, the court granted his request,
 _____ rendered his opinion in asking the defendant
 if he was familiar with the famous quote made by
 Abraham Lincoln, to which the defendant responded
 if he (_____) was referring to when Abraham
 Lincoln stated any man who represents himself has
 a fool as a client," yes, the defendant is familiar

stated in an open courtroom that the defendant was on his own and that his advisory counsel would not be the defendant's go fer.

This is all on the FTR recording.

The defendant motioned to change of Judge due to insulting the defendant's intelligence in open court, the motion was denied.

On denied motions filed by the defendant without a hearing or argument of motions, made himself of all motions, even the motion to be decimed indigent even after being incarcerated for almost years.

This is all on the FTR Recording.

Respectfully,