

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-043

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The commission reviewed whether a municipal court judge had completed all required judicial training in 2016.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found that while the judge may have been medically unable to attend the annual judicial conference, this did not excuse her from her obligation to complete the required training through other available opportunities. While the judge's failure to complete the required training was improper under Rules 1.1 and 2.5(C), the Scope Section of the Code of Judicial Conduct provides that it is not intended that every transgression will result in the imposition of discipline. The commission decided, after considering all the facts and circumstances, to close its file in this matter pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a), but to issue a warning letter to the judge that she is under an obligation to complete the required annual training hours, and that she needs to complete the 16 hours of required training she missed in 2016 within six months from the date of this order, and provide proof of completion to the commission.

Dated: May 17, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez
Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 17, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

To:
From:
Date:
Re: 2016 COJET Compliance Report

Below is the calendar year 2016 statewide COJET compliance report in accordance with Arizona Code of Judicial Administration §1-302. The statewide COJET compliance rate this year reached **99.75%**. A detailed listing of non-compliant and exempt employees, historical COJET compliance and 2016 compliance by county is attached. If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact me at

Court Personnel	TOTAL PERSONS	Number Complying	Number Not Complying	Number Exempt
JUDGES / COURT & PROBATION SUPPORT:				
Appellate Judicial Officers	27	27	0	0
Superior Court Judicial Officers ¹	317	316	0	1
Justice Court Judicial Officers ²	157	157	0	0
Municipal Court Judicial Officers ²	185	184	1	0
Justice Court Part-time/On-call Judicial Officers ³	78	78	0	0
Municipal Court Part-time/On-call Judicial Officers ³	17	17	0	0
Superior Court Part-time/On-call Judicial Officers ³	7	7	0	0
Court/Probation Support	6145	6118	12	15
COURT SUBTOTAL	6933	6904	13	16
OFFICERS:				
Adult Probation Officers	1234	1205	2	27
Adult Surveillance Officers	150	147	1	2
Juvenile Probation Officers	418	406	2	10
Juvenile Surveillance Officers	67	67	0	0
Detention Officers	534	527	5	2
PROBATION SUBTOTAL	2403	2352	10	41
TOTALS	9336	9256	23	57

¹ Includes Judges, Commissioners, Hearing Officers, Full-time Pro tempore.

² Includes Judges, Magistrates, Hearing Officers and Full-time Pro tempore.

³ Includes all part-time/on-call judicial officers appointed in 2016 with training required by their county presiding judge.

cc:

CY 2016 Non-Compliant Judicial Officers

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	COURT/PROBATION DEPARTMENT	POSITION	REASON FOR NON-COMPLIANCE	COJET HOURS EARNED
MARICOPA					
				Was ill during the Judicial Conference.	0.00