

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-048

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace discriminated against him, improperly failed to vacate a default judgment, and engaged in criminal conduct.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 5, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 5, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

(3) as supporting document is a fraud.. she is a employee of and is NOT authorized,only and its direct agent(employee) can persue any alledge claims. persuant to the contract..(which is absent in this case)

(a).That the ledger it self is a fraud, created by a out of state HOA called .. where should not have any files on me at all, but is listed on the ledger. That i have no contract with and has no association with and is not authorized to maintain any books on the undersigned.. The association with comes from and :

That the entry clearly shows that are maintaing a double books system, which would explain the disappearance of the \$ cashier check from my account., further explained why on the supporting document ledger enter as evidence is missing the \$ cashier check listed on the original litiqation of , but is Missing from the ledger enter before .. I have raised this prior of embezzelment of funds, fraud amd double books.

the case been litigated prior in my favor, which explains why did not want me or to be properly served.. the case is a fraud.

(B). i recieved mail from HOA contined in the envelope was a ledger having my name, my account number from and items were false and wrong.

the issue was WHY would this out of state HOA from have any infomation, much less a file on me.? i wrote to informing them of my objection of them maintaing a ledger or anv file on me.. They were unresponcive. They sent this to my po box.

upon further research i discover that were involved with hoa.

i filed a complaint with running a fraud..at no times did informed me or medaitor of them attempting to collect a debt.. the ledger enter as supporting document is been tamper and untrue,, on the last page of the ledger is and a \$ credit, then underneath there appears to be a line as it been cover up and attorney fees enter by , the same period of time we were in mediation,, they charged me for mediation and failed to inform me and the mediator of them collecting a debt.. they charged me for mediation complaint and accepted it as justified.

We then come to the conclusion when i raised the issue of double books, embezzelment and fraud.. immediately with drew from further mediation,, then submitted the ledger from .. i re interated the fraud, embezellment and double books with where he withdrew citing they will no longer persue this matter.

(C) what is clear that [redacted] went shopping for judge that would be more willing to sacrifice any integrity, and flawed character, so they waited to [redacted] got elected, instead of persuing me in [redacted] or [redacted] when the same evidence existed and they knew exactly knew my whereabouts.. so after stating they will no longer persue this matter, knowing where i lived.. they decided the best course with the collusion of [redacted] to deny rights of service, deny ADA accomindatio although he granted recusal and change of venue, it was untimely and he made a judgement in a case he himself state in the granted of those motions cited in the INTEREST of justice.,it then appears that if he is too much of a bigot, to render a unbiased judgement that he removed himself from tyhe case..that would include a judgement render and should been vacated.

(d) addressing the supporting documents enter conflicts with a previous litigation of [redacted] by [redacted] directly, found in my favor. the document enter before [redacted] is a fraud, and evidence of a instrument of fraud, evidence of embezellment evidence of a double books being held by a outside entity, not related to [redacted] or myself, but first service and [redacted] is involved in FRAUD and misuse his office and offer his services to further a fraud.

Medical background

The undersigned has a long history of [redacted]

court hearing

on or about [redacted] i recieved a notice for a telephonic hearing for date [redacted] .. the letter itself contained only my name, [redacted] and [redacted]. No other infomation was enter. The letter itself was dated [redacted] ..further note that no attorney names were listed, nor was i given any documents as to what this is about. the notice did not say i have to appear.

court hearing

It was unclear exactly why a telephonic hearing and for what.. , Having already aware of the difficulties i have with

I informed the court of a motion for objection to , i further enter a ADA Request for accomindation, persuant to ARS 41--1492.., also i requested as to who on the was going to speak and for what.

Apparently when i enter the motion decided to DENY and proceed with this alledge
...

ARS 41-1492 and under title II NO ONE to include a in a court a public entity prohibit accomindation, especially when it does not have a undue burden on the

went forth with the telephonic hearing"alledge" violating my rights to due process.. did not even informed me he DENIED my motion for accomindation.

He refused to release as to who he talked to "allegedly", all of which i am entitle to., what was discuss and names of .. What we will discover that never talk to any from , but engaged in exparte communications with the attorney whose names were not listed as interested parties.. which would explained why DENIED and refused to provide discovery .

That sat on the original notice of till when i recieved it.

We further have a issue where apparently on a judgement from was enter on my credit report.. My question is how can a judgement be enter on my credit , prior to a hearing being held? my opinion is the alledge telephonic hearing was a fraud, never occurred and that a pre determine outcome been established..that why we need discovery and proof.. I am entitle to that.

REMEDY

Refuse to comply with state and federal MANDATE ARS 41-1492 and under title II.. REFUSAL to comply with Arizona State disability act ARS 41-1492 and that is contained in the state mandate has the effect of discrimination against on the basis of Service Connected disability().

AS a result of gross misconduct, his contempt his refusal to comply with state mandates that prohibits such gross misconduct, such discrimination.. Raises the issue of public importance and the gross misconduct of and his unprecedented refusal to comply with ARS 41-1492 is in fact a violation of said state mandate and title II.

That my position upon a lawful enter motion for objection and a request for accomindation. could not go forward with the telephonic hearing()

remedy

PRIOR TO ANY ALLEDGE SCHEDULE HEARING, that could not go forward with the hearing without accomindating and informing me with in the time limits that state of arizona for notice for a person not living in the state of az and out side of arizona jurisdictional limits.

That on i was in treatment for a medical occurance . that had followed the mandate . he would of known if i was going to be invited or not, however the does not even state i was actually go to arizona. It is absurd for to think a pereson , instead of the person down the street, dnied proper service, denied why i am going to be involved in a case that is before him..

denial of accomindation comes a issue of public importance.. That denial . failure to comply with az state mandate and title II , which prohibits such denial.

That actions are unprecedented that other in businesses, schools, other courts can now cite that got away with will full non compliance ,, that businesses and schools and courts can in fact DENY services, prohibit service animals, can prohibit wheel chair ramps for folks in wheel chairs.. If a court such as can deny lawful accomindation or assistance with and contempt and refusal to comply with mandates and titleII. Then NO business or public entity need to comply and a mandate now becomes a suggestion. Thats not what the law states and is not above the law..

AS such now disqaulifys himself to hearing any cases involving disable veterans, any ADA matter, any criminal matter involving a disable person and and all disable persons that comes before his bigoted court. He is a judicial embarrassment.

I request that the honorable commission correct the unlawful discrimination in any and all public entities and order all entities to accomindate and follow the law. I am a agrived person and i am entitle to relief.. to redress this complaint to correct the injury of willful civil rights violation of

(1). is now disqaulify to hear any case involving disability wheather it be civil or criminal..the remedy is clear his removal or he volunteer resigned from the office. failure to do so , is to suspend from duties and refer the matter for impeachment.

(2). could not go forward with any judgement and either order him to vacate or refer the matter to the ADA judge if there is one.

following is submitted is motion for objection

of service connected disability

AZ commission of judicial conduct
1501 w wash ste 229
phx az 85007

COMPLAINT:

Violations occurred

2017-048

PART 2 complaint on
granted motions enter lawfully by
where by i requested change of venue and recusal
granted those motions ..citin
in the "interest of justice". as just cause to recuse himself
and order a change of venue.

while in the interest of justice his recusaL (volunteer) shows
that he is too much of a bigot to act in a fair and unbiased
manner in this case.

I place those MOTIONS ,PRIOR to any judgement being render,..

That could not make a judgement in a case that he
RECUSE himself from and a granted a change of venue in.,
enter a judgement in a case he did not belong in
and was not even in his court.

Honorable commission;

The undersigned on or about enter a motion
for recusal and change of venue...Prior to any alledge telephonic
hearing held.. in violation of state and federal
mandate denied ars 41-1492 and title II..which we find out he
is prohibited from doing,,, however also enter was the above cite
motions for recusal and rule 10...
act in a untimely manner and beyond reason,
by not acting PRIOR to a judgemnt.. I did not enter a motions
after judgement of , but prior..

incompetance and his refusal to act in a
timely manner almost days after the motion was enter, is in
violations of the ethics of conduct for a judicial officer.

that such incompetance and his unreasonable timeliness violated
my rights ..that essentially if we are to follow the
time line i enter a motion prior to
that finally addresses the motions on and
where he approved both motions., however if we follow the
time line enter a judgement in a case that was not
before him and not in his jurisdiction..

He had a duty to VACATE his own judgement , before refering it
to another court.

By his own admission he could not render a fair unbiased
judgenmt based on the disability of the undersigned.. by recusing
himself

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**