

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-058

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was biased in favor of the prosecution and made improper rulings in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 12, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 12, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature _____

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

DURING MY TRIAL, AND PRIOR TO MY TRIAL IN
CASE 'PROPER' DEFENDANT
SUBMITTED A MOTION TO DISMISS CASE CA

SEE ATTACHED
ALL DEFENDANT

THIS PARTICULAR

IS PRO-
'PROSECUTORIAL', AND LEANS ONLY
TO THE PROSECUTION SIDE OF
ANY CONTINUING HEARING, AS FAR
AS I HAVE SEEN...

THIS REFUSES TO
FOLLOW "WELL-SETTLED CASE LAW," AS
FAR AS DUE-PROCESS OF LAW
VIOLATIONS BY THE PROSECUTION'
AND COMPLETELY OVER LOOKS, THE
FACT THE PROSECUTION FAILED TO
PRODUCE PHYSICAL MATERIAL EVIDENCE
FOR FURTHER SCIENTIFIC TESTING BY
DEFENSE COUNSEL!!! AND ALLOWED
THE PROSECUTION TO LITERALLY PROSECUTE
THIS DEFENDANT IN LIE OF
THESE CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS
TO THIS DEFENDANT.

- FAILED TO PRESERVE ANY -
 - PHYSICAL EVIDENCE, -
 AND STILL PROSECUTED ME UNDER
 THE MURDER CHARGES -

NOT TO MENTION ALLOWED
A JURY WHO DIDNT EVEN
UNDERSTAND WHAT THE WORD
"PECCUNIARY" MEANT TO FIND THIS
DEFENDANT GUILTY OF AGGRAVATING
CIRCUMSTANCES... WHEN THE
JURY ACTUALLY ASKED BOTH THE JUDGE
AND THE PROSECUTOR WHAT THE
WORD MEANT... BECAUSE THE JURY
NEVER TOOK THE TIME TO
ACTUALLY READ THE
AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES INSTRUCTIONS
BECAUSE IF THE JURY UNDERSTOOD
THESE CIRCUMSTANCES THE JURY
WOULD NOT HAVE ASKED WHAT THE
WORD ACTUALLY MEANT."

-ADDITIONALLY, THERE WAS NO
AMOUNT LISTED AS AN
AMOUNT TO AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES
TO BE FOUND AND THIS
ACTUALLY
LEADING TO CONDEMN A MAN
TO PRISON FOR A LONG TERM
OF IMPRISONMENT.

AND HOW CAN A
KNOWING THAT, THE PROSECUTION
IS CHARGING THIS DEFENDANT WITH
THE WRONG CHARGE AND ALLOWING IT
TO TAKE PLACE.

EVEN THE _____ STATED
IT WAS CLEAR¹ THERE WAS NO-
ELECTRIC, NO-GAS, NO WATER, NO-
SATISFABLE PLUMBING TO SUPPLY A
"RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURE"

"ADAPTED FOR BOTH HUMAN
RESIDENCE AND LODGING."
EVEN THE HOMEOWNER ADMITTED
HE DIDN'T LIVE THERE BECAUSE OF
THIS, AND THE _____ STATED
AS SUCH AS WELL... SO OBVIOUSLY
IF EVERYONE STATES THE TRAILER
WAS NOT FIT FOR BOTH RESIDENCE
AND LODGING" IT MUST "NOT"
BE THE RIGHT CHARGE TO
CHARGE THE DEFENDANT..."

(RIGHT?)
~

BUT SOMEHOW THIS
ALLOWS THE TRIAL TO PROCEED TO
RUIN A MANS LIFE... AND
HIS FAMILIES LIFE... YET THE
CASE SHOULD HAVE BEEN DISMISSED.
FOR MANY DIFFERENT CONSTITUTIONAL
VIOLATIONS MAINLY DE-PROCESS.

THIS COMMISSIONER NEEDS TO BE
DE-COMMISSIONED, AND TERMINATED
SHE HAS NO VALUE ON HUMAN
LIFE WHAT SO EVER, AND SHE
HAS NO PROBLEM TO RUIN SOMEONES
LIFE WHAT SO EVER...
SHE IS A COMPLETE ABUMINATION
TO ANY LEGAL SYSTEM WHATSOEVER.

Respectfully,

LITERALLY PRECLUDED ME FROM
SAYING I WAS A VETERAN OF
THE U.S. MAY- BE USING
PAID TRANSCRIPTS TO IMPEACH WITNESS
ON THE STAND-