

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-064

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace was biased against him, did not allow him to represent his spouse, and made improper rulings in two small claims cases.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: May 17, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 17, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-064

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name _____ Judge's Name _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

- ① Motion for Judgment assigned To
- ② Ordered removed from Case.
- ③ an attempted Default Hearing was held
without notice in front of a pretended
it was held.
- ④ Default Hearing. Judge gave Numerous
excuses To Several
Other Court employees and people That were in Court
To do business That day. He later Claimed That This was
a Default Hearing. He asked Several Times if he
was ready To be Served and had him Sign The paper.
- ⑤ No notice of Default Hearing Hearings were on
_____ Listed as defendant,
attended both but w's refused To
represent violating ARS 22-512 A, B. Judge
stated that could not represent According
To Rule of
- ⑥ Judge is Pro plaintiff, Said Plaintiff
Steers Ship and To bring him any papers and he
would Sign Them. Judge has called Plaintiff when
They did Not Show.
- ⑦ Have been Numerous motions for dismissals By
defendants But always returned or denied motion.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-064

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Names of defendants changed AT Whim-
Service To never perfected
until cannot legally be served
with a judgment until after that date.