

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-095

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace did not properly consider evidence and made an improper ruling in a civil case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: June 14, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 14, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-095

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper on _____ complaint of _____

on
-ference call.
I had a 3-way tele-con
was not asked
to ask the defendant,
exhibits
at
1.) my attorney
From the judge
questions regarding the exhibits
and SEC. Rule 106-5 discussions that
never was given those questions in the
court room!
2.) not all exhibits were discussed, only out of
were verified to me by attorney
missed was the solid timeline proof of a
penny stock scam or pump & dump scheme.
3.) The court went through, too fast to come
to a verdict without evidence.
because he doesn't have any evidence.
this court was scheduled court date times
and years has ignored me by old emails.
4.) this was an unfair trial. I have timely
appealing this case to
- plaintiff

2017-095

~~not
used.~~