

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-119

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his speedy trial rights and refused to provide him with information on his criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: June 28, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 28, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2017-119

Judge

ON I was improperly
mirandized by officers
and of the

ON It was ruled by
superior court Judge
that I was competent to stand
Trial based on miranda, finding my

2017-19

my way to the bathroom, being able to
read mail and handing a meal tray
to another inmate. None of which
was testified to at the evidentiary
hearing. Later on At my
he ruled I was not competent
to enter into a written agreement
directly contradicting the
ruling. I have been held since the
time of arrest and at my
my then Attorney
stated no time
has been waived including my rule
11 evaluation. As of I
have been incarcerated for
clearly past the afforded
me for a speedy trial.

on I was refused a
charge of counsel by Judge
stating only vague accusations despite
a letter that was
sent and refused by his office
certified mail stating specific
days and what each irregularity was.
He stated

on The
and my Attorney
requested off my
case because of charges I filed

2017-1193

with the
against

my letter dated
stated in court
that he had denied me access
to me and my family to all information
regarding my legal case. which
was one of the specific letters
to the Judge stating allegations.

I continue to get zero information
from the court or Attorney regarding
legal proceedings including next court
dates. when this was brought to the
judges attention I was told

I have
problems syndrome and
Judge has used this inflict
mental distress and by denying me access
to information, proper Miranda, refusal of
a speedy trial, right to adequate
counsel he has violated my First,
Fifth and Sixth amendment rights
The last court dates he
stated specifically my complaints
can be brought up at appeal.

Now my public defender
and my Judge

BTB-CPOS

have quit

under allegations of law suits,
corruption and the threat of
being disbanded.

names and phone numbers of witness's.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign new judge to the case? Yes

I affirm under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and allegations contained in the attached complaint are true.