

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-137

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace was biased against him and improperly issued a bench warrant for his arrest.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: July 19, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 19, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct
<CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>:

Subject: Arizona Commission Judicial Conduct - Urgent Matter Please Prevent a Miscarriage of Justice

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229

Phoenix, AZ 85007

[\(602\) 452-3200](tel:(602)452-3200)

Email us at cjc@courts.az.gov

*(INACTIVE CASE - WARRANT QUASH REQUEST)
(DEFENDANT IN CUSTODY)*

DEFENDANT WAS INCARCERATED ON UNRELATED CHARGES IN

CASE NO.

RE:

MOTION FORM)

Invalid Bench Warrant - Defendant Excusable Neglect

the defendant was taken into custody due to a Bench Warrant that was issued in error, at the very least to harass and unfairly eliminate defendants constitutional right to due process. Due to the bias and unfair treatment of this case, based on the judges previous case handling, by the Hon

I fear the judge may retaliate against Please assist us in this matter, ensure judicial fairness and the judges compliance with the law. Let man be greater than the letter of the law.

The Hon. unfair biased I treatment diminished if not eliminated any fairness would receive in the case for almost Judge all but eliminated fairness I in the judicial process. But for the Judge bias, as the defendant has on numerous occasions attempted to resolve, request community service, request a hearing,, as the defendant is and suffering an diminished the defendants access to due process in his trial whereby the judge is required to be impartial. Unfortunately as it pertains to the case, the defendant has been the victim of bias, unfairness, discrimination without due process. In defendants initial hearing Hon made a few bias statements in court to the defendant. At the defendants response the Hon advised The defendant to be quiet and unjustly reprimanded the defendant. The defendant in an effort to respond to questions regarding the the judge was extremelv harsh, unfair, and favored the prosecution. Furthermore, the Hon made it clear in no uncertain terms that the defendant case would always be in control of the judge and what the defendant said didn't matter not did the case facts.

Rule 1.1 Compliance with the law

A judge shall comply with the law. On Hon. issued a bench warrant for the defendants failure to appear at a hearing to re-establish payment arrangements. On The defendant was in custody in on an unrelated charge completing his prison sentence. (See inmate detailed order attached.)

Defendant was taken into custody for an unrelated charge in another jurisdiction on and remained in custody until not within of the Court. (See Inmate Detail).

Defendant submitted motions to quash to to request the sentence imposed to run concurrent with the then current sentence. Defendant did not receive a response or any information pertaining to the case in Court. Defendant had no knowledge of any impede cases hearings calendars due to control over his person. In addition during the final the defendant was

Thank you