

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-172

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a municipal court judge and a pro tem superior court judge improperly denied him counsel.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judicial officers engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officers' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 23, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 23, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

i feel this hole case from start to finish was dilibrityly sabotoged By
By puting me in a position to not be abel to exersize my constitutinal rights. He made it a
missdeminer cuting uot an atorny for this spacific case????

i allso put the folowing information in for dissmisal of the case?? apperantly to a Judge that was more
concerned with revinew than justice?

i will atach inf i cant copy and past to this cocument??

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2017-172

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

From:
To:
Subject:
Date:

1. Fundamental principles; recurrence to

Section 1. A frequent recurrence to fundamental principles is essential to the security of individual rights and the perpetuity of free government.

15. Monopolies and trusts

Section 15. Monopolies and trusts shall never be allowed in this state and no incorporated company, co-partnership or association of persons in this state shall directly or indirectly combine or make any contract, with any incorporated company, foreign or domestic, through their stockholders or the trustees or assigns of such stockholders or with any co-partnership or association of persons, or, in any manner whatever, to fix the prices, limit the production, or regulate the transportation of any product or commodity. The legislature shall enact laws for the enforcement of this section by adequate penalties, and in the case of incorporated companies, if necessary for that purpose, may, as a penalty declare a forfeiture of their franchises.

There is no “MENS REA” for a POT bust!

Mens Rea – A person’s awareness of the fact that his or her conduct is criminal. This is the **mental element**; and the **physical element** is the **act itself**(actus reus).

The concept of **mens rea** developed in England during the latter part of the common-law era (about the year 1600) when judges began to hold that **an act alone could not create criminal liability unless it was accompanied by a guilty state of mind**. The degree of mens rea required for a particular common-law crime varied. Murder, for example, required a **malicious** state of mind, whereas Larceny required a **felonious** state of mind.

No Mens Rea – A fundamental principle of Criminal Law is that “A crime consists of both a mental and a physical element”.

DOES THIS SEEM “NORML” TO YOU? THE KINGPIN, at
the asking running for
to explain **how the Legal System justifies ignoring the fact that there is NO MENS REA for a POT bust** and lawyers like him and his ilk **fail to fight for our FREEDOM**. . . Watch as he ducks and covers to avoid the question—finally offering the **party police line** that “POT is illegal because” as his defense . . . I’m still waiting for an answer. The reason POT remains CRIMINALIZED is not because of the Moron Majority standing against us; POT remains CRIMINALIZED

because of the brain dead SHEEPLE who want to represent us by dealing away our rights in favor of a POLITICAL POLICY enforced as a supposed law. They want to trade one oppressive code for another. I want PEACE and FREEDOM something's gotta give!

From:
To:
Subject:
Date:

No.17-272

I just found out that _____ is the judge that I feel violated my civil Rights by not giving me an attorney in time to finish the proper steps on my appeal for

It's pritty lame of a state that uses federal funding and tax paiers dollars to build there empiers!

On the work of others intentions

At least the _____ are now involved. Ad it unfortunately will be I hope investigating the entire States lack of accountability do to no real Internal Affair's. That address each individual as equitably equal and use the same integrity as the Police do in a common day at work on a beat. Turning in there funding to a higher athority. And not getting to only question the alledged purpitrators if the offences. This is in my report to the _____ as well And

It makes no reasonable lodjic to not ask

What They recall of the events??

This is a gross violation of special Rights privliges and emunits of indaviduas fedrally held to a higher standard for what ever reason I feel responsibly compoeld to drag the _____ In to the Federal Court System to expose the Injustice it s
cous and effect has on the local and state community.

You leave a disabled poor citezen no choice do to my State Constitutional right and respons bilities to Artical 2 Sec: 1.

Fundamental principles: recurrence to

Section 1. A frequent recurrence to fundamental principles is essential to the security of individual rights and the perpetuity of free government.

As well as Artical 14 Sec: 15

As15. [Monopolies and trusts](#)

Section 15. Monopolies and trusts shall never be allowed in this state and no incorporated company, co-partnership or association of persons in this state shall directly or indirectly combine or make any contract, with any incorporated company, foreign or domestic, through their stockholders or the trustees or assigns of such stockholders or with any co-partnership or association of persons, or, in any manner whatever, to fix the prices, limit the production, or regulate the transportation of any product or commodity. The legislature shall enact laws for the enforcement of this section by adequate penalties, and in the case of incorporated companies, if necessary for that purpose, may, as a penalty declare a forfeiture of their franchises????

well as the root of the alledged aligations acured while ignored this fact as well wile
extortina Turning the pot over to
You look it up I an done with non transparent agency's corperatly
grabbing a Federal funding for private gain not serving the true Health Safety And weifair of the
inhabitants of the state equitably and openly fair with equal treatment under the law as
proscribed??? In my laman opinion when conducting an investgaton it is a Federal requirement to ask
the victims and witnesses to see if ant ethics treatment has indeed ocured . Correct ?? So you can not
claim to have done thoro investigation of the ethics in question? I wish to be contacted by your
supervisor and or Superior of the This complaint is being forwarded to all
investigating agents as well as the is not above the law no mater who he knows. So
I suspect it's responsibilities to the construction and people of s to show how munioulating and
prestidigatating this corporate grabbing has gone with out policing the government ultimately the
infaviduals responsibility. Unjustifiy a massive expenditure if funding for state funding junkies till it
gets corrections by the federal higher Court athorits. I would hope you personally whould follow
through with your ivinvestagation and not be subjected to retaliation if pears in the bar my cival Rights
retaliation has list paitance and is moving to the next step affording you do process witch
has delibitly kept from [myself.as](#) well as and proclcuting first
name evades me at the moment . I my evin directly implacat the major conflict of interest and there
inability to grasp the concept of free government?
The privetly that dose not support the questioning of athority for its
own member's may have crossed the separation I'd church and state line I WILL let the Hiring courts
dicide this cunundrun of libritys we all appearantly have list the ability to remedy do to ego and
narsasistic miss direction on one or both of the
Have a wonderful day see you in the witnesses Stang to further discuss and explore why this has
happened?

[Show quoted text](#)

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**