

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-205

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace was biased against him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 4, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 4, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Comp

2017-205

In re: (Judge)

VIA US CERTIFIED MAIL

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Please accept this letter as a complaint against Judge of the

I have filed complaints against Judge and in , but you have chosen to ignore all. Judge has exhibited open bias against me in favor of the convicted felon and Defendant in this Case,

For the past , the Defendant has been filing motions and NOT MAILING THEM TO ME. If you look at the included copies, you will see that has been playing a game by using fictitious and incomplete addresses for me. I had to drive to and from the court every just to see if Motions had been filed and get copies, so I could timely respond. Despite my pointing out to that the motions were clearly deficient and I never received them, she continued to accept them from She knew they were not being mailed to me, but continued to accept them.

The latest happening is the most telling. On or about , this case was by the When I called the in late , she told me I was not allowed to file anything further and no action would be taken on my outstanding motions because of the I understand the law and accepted this as fact and filed nothing more.

On , and again on the , filed pleadings with the Court. Despite the fact that the case was accepted and docketed the motions, then passed them on to the Judge, who actually

ruled on one. I protested vigorously with _____; who told me “_____”.
She told me that

_____ encouraged me to file this complaint and was laughing at me when I told her what I intended to do.

This Court has NO JURISDICTION on this case while it is under _____ from the _____. The _____ had no right to accept the motions and the Judge no jurisdiction to rule. Any ruling by the judge was unlawful as he had no jurisdiction to enter a ruling. Knowing I was going to file this complaint, just yesterday I received a notice that the case had been _____.

The judge and _____ are trying to cover _____ for his unlawful actions, after the fact.

As you can see from the copies of the two latest “_____”, they are defective in so many ways as to make it almost criminal that they were accepted.

Rule 108 - **Rules of Civil Procedure**

1. First, they were sent via email, and the _____ : told me they have no e-file system.
2. They are unsigned.
3. The “_____” lacks a mailing certificate or any indication that it was ever sent to me. It was not. I got a copy from the court.
4. The pleading lacks the name and address of the Court;
5. The pleading lacks the names of the parties;
6. The pleading lacks name and address of the party filing;
7. The pleading lacks the case number;
8. The pleading lacks the required one inch margin on the right;
9. The pleading lacks a date of any kind:
10. The pleading lacks a caption as in _____”.

Rule 128 - **Rules of Civil Procedure**

1. The pleading was not served never served upon me as required by the rules and lacks a mailing certificate;
2. The pleading failed to include the paragraph required by J.C.R.C.P Rule 128(c);
3. The pleading failed to state facts and reasons to support the relief requested. It failed to list any statute, case or other rule or legal authority support the relief requested. The “_____” referenced in the document does not exist.

Canon 3 of the Code of Judicial Conduct clearly states that the judge is required to make sure [redacted] comply with the code of conduct. [redacted] never should have allowed these document to be filed as their inclusion in the court file manifests bias and prejudice towards the Defendant.

I don't believe that Judge [redacted] or [redacted] is impartial in this matter. All parties to litigation should be treated equally, and without bias. This court refused my own pleading because they were signed just as this letter is signed, but they will accept this garbage from the Defendant and laugh about it.

It's almost as if Judge [redacted] and [redacted] are assisting this Defendant to make a mockery of his Court and the legal process, all to my detriment. I expect and deserve a level playing field in front of Judge [redacted]. Why is this continually being denied me? Why is the Judge and [redacted] eagerly and openly assisting and helping this Defendant?