

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-217

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge did not afford him an opportunity to be heard and was rude to him in a family law proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: January 29, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie

Margaret H. Downie

Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 29, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-217

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

(1) AS AN OLDER ADULT WITH A
AND OTHER HEALTH PROBLEMS AND DISABILITIES
JUDGE WAS VERY RUDE TO ME
IN COURT EARLY THIS YEAR
IN COURT (READ ON BELOW)

(2) BOTH JUDGE + MY ATTORNEY WOULD NOT
ALLOW ME TO SPEAK + THE JUDGE ACCUSED
ME OF MAKING FUN OF THE COURT WHEN IN
FACT I COULD NOT + WOULD NOT TAKE
THIS INTO CONSIDERATION - AT ONE POINT
SAID "

"
(3) BECAUSE (JUDGE) DISMISSED ME + WOULD NOT
LISTEN TO MY ARGUMENTS - NEITHER
WOULD MY LAWYER. EVERYTHING WAS GIVEN
TO EX-WIFE WITHOUT
ANY ARGUMENT AND I FEEL I WAS
LEFT HOMELESS AND FINANCIALLY EXPLOITED
BY MY EX-WIFE, MY ATTORNEY, AND
THE COURT.