

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-233

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge handled her criminal case in an unfair manner.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 18, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 18, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-233

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

my complaint is there was no solution the judge could find or state anything positive in my defense . This case was unfair . and the courts showed no faith or can do thoughts in my case. winning stratgies in my defense or give me the opportunity to ask me what i would like done .nothing went my way in this case and i was denied the right to make any decision as it clearly shows. no logic or common sensce was used to to defend my incoccent . i am not who the courts say i am a criminal . what justice does my sentence proof . law and facts of my case do not add up to confect me . The judge should have read offer both police reports in the court room . explained rule 11. double checked if i understood exactly what the attorney were saying . i was not in agreement with this whole case . officials, litigants, and their lawyers cooperate with the judge to that end.

4. In disposing of matters promptly and efficiently, a judge must demonstrate due regard for the rights of parties to be heard and to have issues resolved without unnecessary cost or delay. A judge should monitor and supervise cases in ways that reduce or eliminate dilatory practices, avoidable delays, and unnecessary costs.

5. Article 2, § 11 of the Arizona Constitution requires that "Justice in all cases shall be administered openly, and without unnecessary delay." Article 6, Section 21 provides that "Every matter submitted to a judge of the superior court for his decision shall be decided within sixty days from the submission thereof. The supreme court shall by rule provide for the speedy disposition of all matters not decided within such period." See Rule 91(e), Rules of the Supreme Court; A.R.S. § 12-128.01. In addition, A.R.S. § 11-424.02(A) prohibits a justice of the peace from receiving compensation if a cause "remains pending and undetermined for sixty days after it has been submitted for decision." These and other time requirements are discussed in depth in Arizona Judicial Ethics Advisory Committee,

RULE 2.6. Ensuring the Right to Be Heard
(A) A judge shall accord to every person who has a legal interest in a proceeding, or that person's lawyer, the right to be heard according to law.

(B) A judge may encourage parties to a proceeding and their lawyers to settle matters in dispute, but shall not coerce any party into settlement.

Comment
1. The right to be heard is an essential component of a fair and impartial system of justice. Substantive rights of litigants can be protected only if procedures protecting the right to be heard .the judge was not consistent with the other judges in bringing up what was previously brought in the last court hearing . the facts of my case were not used as partial evidence to defend my case . communication in winning my case and the words relvenant objection . substained . verdict . startgies for Responding to Judicial and Lawyer Misconduct

(A) A judge having knowledge that another judge has committed a violation of this code that raises a substantial question regarding the judge's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a judge in other respects shall inform the appropriate authority.

(B) A judge having knowledge that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question regarding the lawyer's honesty, and the judges. trustw

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017 - 233

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

[Redacted area]

Disposition Information

Party Name ARSCode Description Crime Date Disposition Code Disposition Date

[Redacted area]

Case Documents

Filing Date Description Docket Date Filing Party

[Redacted area]