

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-240

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a municipal court judge improperly took on the responsibility of serving municipal citations on defendants.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: November 16, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie

Margaret H. Downie

Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 16, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-240

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

is 'A CROOK WHO BELIEVES HE IS ABOVE THE LAW AND DOES NOT HAVE TO FOLLOW THE LAW (TOWN CODES, RULES OF SERVICE, ETC.)

OF COURT 'DATE' - SENDING "OUT BOGUS" NOTICES WHEN IT IS NOT A NEW DATE - HE ATTACHES A COPY OF A CITATION AND DEMANDS THAT YOU APPEAR IN COURT AND THREATENS A WARRANT! REVOCATION OF DRIVER'S LICENSE WHEN THE DEFENDANT HAS NEVER BEEN SERVED BY THE PLAINTIFF (COPIES OF EXAMPLES ATTACHED)

DENIES EVERY SINGLE MOTION, EVEN IF PETITIONER IS CORRECT. LIES IN COURT AND REFUSES TO FOLLOW THE LAW

EVEN WHEN ABSOLUTE PROOF IS EVIDENCED IN COURT.

is a disgrace to the Judiciary. He flaunts that "he is UNTOUCHABLE". He is stealing citizens money in corrupt decisions - knowing that they are corrupt. TRIES TO OBTAIN "SERVICE" HIMSELF ON DEFENDANTS. illegally