

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-055

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace engaged in improper election activity, improperly reclassified a small claims case, and is not of good moral character.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 11, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie

Margaret H. Downie  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 11, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2018-055

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Judge's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See attached

This complaint is regarding

On [redacted] I attended a [redacted] Board meeting that was discussing the closure of the [redacted]. Most of the speakers during the "call to the public" supported keeping the [redacted] despite the expense of over [redacted] a year. I spoke that a simple telephone could replace the [redacted] and that the money could be used to repair the roads, which are in dire need of repair (for years). I also stated that I was a candidate for [redacted] in the next election.

[redacted] spoke and groveled to the Board, even displaying "his" family to the Board.

During a portion of the speeches, a group of [redacted] protestors held up photos of the [redacted] and [redacted] on vacations in various places and strongly suggested a romantic relationship. They also repeatedly invoked the "Hatch Act."

Two problems with their theory was that [redacted] is not known to have relationships with women, and, of course, the Hatch Act (1939) applies to federal employees, showing these people never read the law. These people obviously supported [redacted] by using vicious, malicious and FALSE allegations against the [redacted] who recommended the closure of [redacted] to save money.

During a portion of the meeting, [redacted] was outside handing out doughnuts and water to people. He was also discussing the closure of [redacted] with residents. He approached a neighbor<sup>2</sup> of mine and was spreading a derogatory medical diagnosis against me, a registered candidate for [redacted]. This sort of activity shows his utter disregard for any laws of the state regarding elections or Judicial Conduct.

I have used FACTS against [redacted] including his failed case against [redacted]

**alleged he was unfairly and disproportionately disciplined on multiple occasions for minor violations of various departmental policies, including inadequately supervising subordinates, kissing a woman who was not his wife while he was in uniform**

---

<sup>1</sup> A [redacted] opened this summer in the midst of two "local" stores that have been gouging the local residents for YEARS with exorbitant prices. After the store opened these people tried to get county laws passed specifically to affect [redacted] continued operations, like requiring all business to conform to a "rustic" paint job. Our two locals stores are so "rustic" that I consider them to be decrepit.

<sup>2</sup> The neighbor is a lawyer who has stated that he may have 1 or 2 cases a YEAR at [redacted]

and in public, and driving his patrol car through a car wash while transporting a prisoner.

After he was demoted to lowly deputy and strongly encourage to quit. He then went (back) to the is known for hiring problematic or terminated peace officers (9). Legitimate peace officers would not agree to fill the budgetary requirements placed into the town's budget.

I also referenced numerous (newspaper) articles reporting similar acts committed by in our area.

I do have a history with He allowed an attorney to practice in a Small Claims case I filed about two years ago. Because of that, (and his clerk) miraculously changed the case to a "civil" case. I successfully had recused from the case. Shortly after I filed a complaint against him and his clerk, I got a severe speeding ticket from a co-worker of and clerk.

educational accomplishments may include a H.S. diploma (or GED), it is highly unlikely that he has a BS/BA. He definitely does NOT have a JD or MD. Yet, he practices law as and viciously spreads a diagnosis in his capacity as a

He does not possess the required "of good moral character" requirement and it is obvious that has been trying to get rid of him for years. I request that the Commission review the facts of this case and find that violated the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct to an incurable extent and remove him from office.

I have included a sample of a "product" produced and filed by his clerk.

---

<sup>3</sup> We have more than enough trained lawyers in Arizona, that uneducated persons should not be allowed to play "judge,"