

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-057

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against her, engaged in ex parte communication, engaged in improper demeanor, failed to afford her a full and fair opportunity to be heard, and made improper rulings in a family law matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission approved sending the judge an advisory letter reminding him to ensure his demeanor complies with Rule 2.8(B). The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Commission members George H. Foster, Jr. and Anna Mary Glaab did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: June 12, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez

Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 12, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-057

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: __

Judge's Name: __

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

SEE 4 page ATTACHED
DECLARATION.

1 DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

2 43 pages

3
4 My name is I am the in case #
5
6 in County Judge

7 presiding. If I were called to testify, I would say the
8 following.

9 1) Based on Judge demeanor it is obvious that
10 he is biased towards people not represented by an attorney.

11 2) Based on Judge Behavior and tone towards
12 me, he obviously does not like females.

13 3) Judge despite the vast amount of documents
14 submitted in this matter, Judge only allotted
15 for this family law case. It was obvious that the closer to the
16 it came, the more agitated Judge became towards
17 me. When I asked about presenting my case and calling a witness,

18 he told me in a demeaning tone that I was just eating up my
19 time to question " " Judge denied me "

20 " as he told me I only have to question
21 Judge said to me "

22 ". Once again Judge
23 denied me due process US Code Title 18 Section 242.
24
25
26
27
28

1 4) Judge had prior information that the
2 was a career criminal in County. Additionally, both the
3 restraining orders I have had on were issued in
4
5 County.

6 5) When I objected to evidence item (a unsigned
7 lease), I tried to explain to Judge that had
8 previously displayed to me a 30-notice to vacate the address on
9 the lease. When I asked petitioner to provide proof the 30 day
10 notice was not still in effect, Judge " ". It
11 was obvious that the was using a different address on
12 the documents vs the address on the lease he gave Judge and
13 Judge did not inquire about it.

14 6) Judge deliberately allowed incorrect "
15 " to be used in this case " " (Refer
16 Page) states these documents were not for married couple
17 to use. The if was shocked Judge allowed the
18 to use this form.

19 7) Judge had prior knowledge was career
20 criminal in County. In the in document entitled
21 "
22 ", (Page Item),
23 There were multiple involvement omitted by . In
24 item Judge had prior knowledge that did not
25
26
27
28

1 truthfully disclose before
2 County. Judge did not diligently review the documents.
3 8) Judge knowing has a criminal history
4 ignored the "
5
6 ". Page Items and
7 were not completed truthfully and failed to give it adequate
8 review before sending a off with a career criminal.
9 9) Judge was aware of being removed
10 from court house by on for being " '
11 (police report on file) . Judge dismissed the
12 confrontation with the as the being
13
14 " .
15 10) Judge spoke
16 with me on numerous occasions while at the courthouse in front
17 of a witness. stated "
18
19 " also
20 told me that he was personal friends with Judge and
21 used to who I understand is also
22 a judge in County. told me that
23 Judge is fully aware of criminal
24 history. also told me that he
25 and County had a meeting
26 with Judge prior to my court appearance and Judge
27
28

1 was told that has a long criminal history
2 and is combative towards Law Enforcement.

3 Judge cannot say he was unaware of
4 history prior to trial.
5

6
7 Judges should be fair in the treatment of persons before them.

8 Judge cannot rely on the fact he his a new judge, as I my
9 research is he is a long time and a

10
11 May be being a judge has gone to his head and
12 taking it out the non-attorney represented females.

13
14 This is a true and complete declaration.
15

16
17 Date:

18
19 Signed
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28